Hardy and Ramanujan<sup>\*</sup> proved that  $\nu(m)$  is almost always  $\log \log n$ , *i.e.* that for any positive  $\epsilon$  there are only o(n) integers  $m \leq n$  for which either  $\nu(m) > (1+\epsilon) \log \log n$  or  $\nu(m) < (1-\epsilon) \log \log n$ .

We use the following notation:

- 1. T denotes the closed interval  $[(\log n)^6, n^{(\log \log n)^{-3}}],$
- 2.  $\nu'(m)$  the number of different prime factors of m in T,

3.  $q_1, q_2, ..., q_v$  symbols for the v primes q of T,

4.  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  the integers composed of  $q_i$ ,

5.  $a_1^{(k)}$ ,  $a_2^{(k)}$ , ... the integers whose factors are powers of k different  $q_i$   $(k < 2 \log \log n)$ ,

6. A(m) the greatest  $a_i$  contained in m,

7.  $U_k$  the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which A(m) is an  $a^{(k)}$ ,

8. c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>2</sub>, ... absolute constants,

9.  $x = \sum_{q} \frac{1}{q}$ ; from the formula  $\sum_{p < y} \frac{1}{p} = \log \log y + c_1 + o(1)$ , it immedately follows that  $x = \log \log n - 4 \log \log \log n - \log 6 + o(1)$ .

We require four lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** The number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which

$$\nu(m) - \nu'(m) > (\log \log \log n)^2$$

is o(n).

We evidently have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n} \left( \nu(m) - \nu'(m) \right) = \sum_{p \leq n} \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] - \sum_{q} \left[ \frac{n}{q} \right]$$
$$= \sum_{p < (\log n)^6} \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] + \sum_{n \log \log n)^{-3} < p \leq n} \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right]$$
$$= O(n \log \log \log n),$$

which implies Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2.

$$\frac{x^k}{k!} - o\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^2}\right) < \sum_i' \frac{1}{a_i^{(k)}} < \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

<sup>†</sup> Srinivasa Ramanujan, Collected papers (1927), 262-275.

where the dash in the summation means that the summation is extended over the square-free  $a^{(k)}$ 's only.

We have

$$\sum_{i}' \frac{1}{a_i^{(k)}} < \frac{\left(\sum\limits_{q} \frac{1}{q}\right)^k}{k!} = \frac{x^k}{k!}.$$

By expanding  $\left(\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q}\right)^{k} / k!$  by the multinomial theorem we see that the

coefficient of the terms whose denominator is a square-free  $a^{(k)}$  is 1, but the other terms contain in their denominator the square of a q, *i.e.* a square greater than  $(\log n)^{12}$  and have coefficients less than 1. Finally, the denominators are all less than  $n^{2/(\log \log n)^2}$ , since  $k < 2 \log \log n$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q}\right)^{k}}{k!} &< \sum_{i}' \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} + \sum_{r > (\log n)^{6}} \frac{1}{r^{2}} \sum_{i < n} \frac{1}{i} = \sum_{i}' \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5}}\right), \\ &\sum_{i}' \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} > \frac{\left(\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q}\right)^{k}}{k!} - O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{5}}\right), \end{aligned}$$
ence  $\sum_{i}' \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} > \frac{x^{k}}{k!} - O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{2}}\right), \end{aligned}$ 

and hence

which establishes Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. 
$$U_k = n e^{-x} \frac{x^k}{k!} + o\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^2}\right).$$

First we evaluate the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $A(m) = a_i^{(k)}$ . The number of the  $m \leq n$  divisible by the square of a q is less than  $\sum_i \frac{n}{q^2} = O\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^6}\right)$ . If m is not divisible by the square of a q, A(m) is square-free, and the number of the m for which  $A(m) = a_i^{(k)}$  is equal to the number z of integers

$$m \leqslant \frac{n}{a_i^{(k)}},$$

no one of which is divisible by a q. We calculate z by Brun's method. We have

$$z = \left[\frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)}}\right] - \sum_{q} \left[\frac{n}{q a_{i}^{(k)}}\right] + \sum_{q_{1} < q_{2}} \left[\frac{n}{q_{1} q_{2} a_{i}^{(k)}}\right] - \dots + (-1)^{r} \sum_{q_{1} < q_{2} < \dots < q_{r}} \left[\frac{n}{q_{1} q_{2} \dots q_{r} a_{i}^{(k)}}\right] + \dots$$
(1)

P. Erdös

We write

$$s_{r} = \sum_{q_{1} < q_{2} < \dots < q_{r}} \left[ \frac{n}{q_{1} q_{2} \dots q_{r} a_{i}^{(k)}} \right]$$

$$s_{r}' = \sum_{q_{1} < q_{2} < \dots < q_{r}} \frac{n}{q_{1} q_{2} \dots q_{r} a_{i}^{(k)}},$$

$$z = \sum_{r=0}^{v} (-1)^{r} s_{r}.$$
(1')

and

311

Now, evidently,

so that we have

 $\sum_{r \leq 10 \log \log n} (-1)^r s_r - \sum_{r>10 \log \log n} s_r \leq z \leq \sum_{r \leq 10 \log \log n} (-1)^r s_r + \sum_{r>10 \log \log n} s_r, \quad (2)$ but

$$\sum_{r>10\log\log n} s_r \leqslant \sum_{r>10\log\log n} s_r' < \frac{n}{a_i^{(k)}} \sum_{r>10\log\log n} \frac{\left(\sum_{q} \frac{1}{q}\right)^r}{r!} < \frac{n}{a_i^{(k)}} \sum_{r>10\log\log n} \frac{(\log\log n)^r}{r!} < \frac{2n}{a_i^{(k)}} \frac{(\log\log n)^{[10\log\log n]}}{[10\log\log n]!} < \frac{2ne^{10\log\log n}(10\log\log n+1)}{a_i^{(k)}10^{10\log\log n}} < \frac{2n}{a_i^{(k)}2^{10\log\log n}}$$
(3)

since

$$y! > \frac{y^y}{e^y}.$$

Hence, from (1'), on noting the right-hand inequalities in (2) and (3) and omitting the square brackets, we obtain

$$z = \sum_{r \leq 10 \log \log n} (-1)^r s_r' + O\left( (1+v)^{10 \log \log n} \right) + O\left( \frac{n}{a_i^{(k)} 2^{10 \log \log n}} \right), \quad (4)$$

the v term arising from a possible error  $1+v+\binom{v}{2}+\ldots$  up to  $10\log\log n$  terms.

From (3), (4), and  $1+v < n^{(\log \log n)^{-3}}$ , we obtain

$$z = \sum_{r} (-1)^{r} s_{r}' + O(n^{10/(\log \log n)^{2}} + O\left(\frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)} 2^{10 \log \log n}}\right).$$
(5)

Now we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{r} (-1)^{r} s_{r}' &= \frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)}} \prod_{q} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q} \right) = \frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)}} e^{\sum_{q}^{n} (-(1/q) + O(1/q^{2}))} = \frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)}} e^{-x} e^{\sum_{q}^{n} O(1/q^{2})} \\ &= \frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)}} e^{-x} e^{O(1/(\log n)^{6})} = \frac{n}{a_{i}^{(k)}} e^{-x} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^{6}}\right) \right). \end{split}$$

## NOTE ON THE NUMBER OF PRIME DIVISORS OF INTEGERS. 312

Thus

$$z = \frac{n}{a_i^{(k)}} e^{-x} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{(\log n)^6}\right) \right) + O(n^{10/(\log \log n)^2}) + O\left(\frac{n}{a_i^{(k)} 2^{10\log \log n}}\right).$$
(6)

From (6) we easily obtain

$$\begin{split} U_{k} &= n e^{-x} \bigg( 1 + O\bigg( \frac{1}{(\log n)^{6}} \bigg) \bigg) \sum_{i}' \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} + O(n^{20/(\log \log n)^{2}}) \\ &+ O\bigg( \frac{n}{2^{10 \log \log n}} \sum_{i} \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} \bigg) + O\bigg( \frac{n}{(\log n)^{6}} \bigg), \end{split}$$
(7)

since the number of the square-free  $a_i^{(k)} \leq n$  is less than

$$(1+v)^k < n^{10/(\log \log n)^2},$$

and finally, from Lemma 2 and from

$$\sum_{i} \frac{1}{a_{i}^{(k)}} < \sum_{l < n} \frac{1}{l} = O(\log n),$$
$$U_{k} = ne^{-x} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} + o\left(\frac{n}{(\log n)^{2}}\right).$$
(8)

we have

Thus Lemma 3 is proved.

k

**LEMMA 4.** The number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\nu'(m) > \log \log n$  is  $\frac{1}{2}n + o(n)$ .

Evidently  $\nu'(m) = \nu[A(m)]$ ; thus we have only to consider the integers for which  $\nu[A(m)] > \log \log n$ .

First we prove that the number of integers for which  $\nu[A(m)] > x$  is  $\frac{1}{2}n + o(n)$ , *i.e.* 

$$\sum_{k>x} U_k = \frac{1}{2}n + o(n).$$

Since  $\sum_{r=1}^{n} d(r) = O(n \log n)$ , the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\nu(m) > 2 \log \log n$  is  $O(n \log n/2^{2 \log \log n}) = o(n)$ , so that we have to prove

$$\sum_{k>x}^{\leqslant 2\log\log n} U_k = \frac{1}{2}n + o(n),$$

i.e., by Lemma 3,

$$ne^{-x} \sum_{k>x}^{k\leq 2\log\log n} \frac{x^k}{k!} = \frac{1}{2}n + o(n).$$
(9)

But it is known that\*

$$\sum_{k>x} \frac{x^k}{k!} = \frac{1}{2}e^x + o(e^x)$$
(10)

\* Srinivasa Ramanujan, Collected papers, 323, Question 294.

P. Erdös

and

$$\sum_{k>2\log\log n} \frac{x^k}{k!} < 2 \frac{x^{2\log\log n}}{[2\log\log n]!} < \frac{2x^{2\log\log n}e^{2\log\log n}(2\log\log n+1)}{2^{2\log\log n}(\log\log n)^{2\log\log n}} < \frac{2e^{2\log\log n}(2\log\log n+1)}{2^{2\log\log n}} = o(e^x),$$
(11)

and (9) is an immediate consequence of (10) and (11). We now have to prove that there are only o(n) integers  $m \leq n$  for which

 $x \leqslant \nu'(m) \leqslant \log \log n$ .

From Lemma 3 we see that, since  $x^k/k!$  assumes its maximum value for k = [x], the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\nu'(m) = k$  is, by Stirling's formula, at the utmost

$$ne^{-x}\frac{x^{[x]}}{[x]!} + o\left(\frac{n}{\log^2 n}\right) < \frac{c_2 n}{\sqrt{x}}.$$
(12)

Hence the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $x < \nu'(m) \leq \log \log n$  is

$$O\left(\frac{n}{\sqrt{x}}\left(\log\log n - x\right)\right) = O\left(\frac{n\log\log\log n}{(\log\log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) = o(n),$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.

We now proceed to prove our main theorem.

By Lemma 4, we have only to prove that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\nu'(m) \leq \log \log n$  but  $\nu(m) > \log \log n$  is o(n).

We divide these integers into two classes.

In the first class are the integers for which

 $\nu'(m) < \log \log n - (\log \log \log n)^2.$ 

For these,  $\nu(m) - \nu'(m) > (\log \log \log n)^2$ , and so, from Lemma 1, the number of them is o(n).

For the integers of the second class

 $\log \log n - (\log \log \log n)^2 \leq \nu'(m) \leq \log \log n.$ 

From (12), it follows that the number of them is less than

$$\frac{c_2 n}{\sqrt{x}} \left( (\log \log \log n)^2 + 1 \right) = O\left(\frac{n (\log \log \log n)^2}{(\log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) = o(n).$$

Thus our theorem is established.

In consequence of the exceedingly slow increase of  $\log \log n$  we can easily deduce from our theorem that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $\nu(m) > \log \log m$  is also  $\frac{1}{2}n + o(n)$ .

313

Let f(m) be the number of prime factors of m, multiple factors being counted multiply. We easily deduce that for every  $\epsilon$  there exists a  $c_3$  such that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $f(m) - \nu(m) > c_3$  is less than  $\epsilon n$ , and from this it is clear that the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which

$$f(m) > \log \log n$$

is  $\frac{1}{2}n + o(n)$ .

By similar methods we can prove the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. Let  $v_1(m)$  and  $v_2(m)$  denote the numbers of prime factors of m of the forms 4k+1 and 4k+3 respectively. The number of integers  $m \leq n$ for which  $v_1(m) > v_2(m)$  is  $\frac{1}{2}n+o(n)$ . The same holds for  $v_1(m) < v_2(m)$ and hence the number of integers  $m \leq n$  for which  $v_1(m) = v_2(m)$  is o(n).

THEOREM 2. Let  $A_1(m)$  and  $A_2(m)$  denote the product of all prime factors of m of the forms 4k+1 and 4k+3 respectively, multiple factors being counted multiply. The number of integers  $m \leq n$ , for which  $A_1(m) > A_2(m)$  is  $\frac{1}{2}n + o(n)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** The number of integers  $m \leq n$ , the greatest prime factor of which is a prime of the form 4k+1, is  $\frac{1}{2}n+o(n)$ .

The University,

Manchester.

Printed by C. F. Hodgson & Son, Ltd., Newton St., London, W.C.2.