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## Introduction

Let $q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}, \ldots$ be an arbitrary sequence of positive integers, restricted only by the condition $q_{n} \geqq 2$. We can develop every real number $x$ ( $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$ ) into Cantor's series

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}(x)}{q_{1} q_{2} \cdots q_{n}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $n$-th "digit" $\varepsilon_{n}(x)$ may take on the values $0,1, \ldots, q_{n}-1$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ). The representation (1) is clearly a straightforward generalization of the ordinary decimal (or $q$-adic) representation of real numbers, to which it reduces if all $q_{n}$ are equal to 10 (or to $q$, resp.).

In a recent paper [3] (see also [2] for a special case of the theorem) it has been shown that the classical theorem of Borel [1] (according to which for almost all real numbers $x$ the relative frequency of the numbers $0,1, \ldots, 9$ among the first $n$ digits of the decimal expansion of $x$ tends for $n \rightarrow+\infty$ to $\frac{1}{10}$ ) can be generalized for all those representations (1) for which $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{q_{n}}$ is divergent. The generalization obtained in [2] can be formulated as follows: Let $f_{n}(k, x)$ denote the number of those among the digits $\varepsilon_{1}(x), \varepsilon_{2}(x), \ldots, \varepsilon_{n}(x)$ which are equal to $k(k=0,1, \ldots)$, i. e. put

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(k, x)=\sum_{\substack{t_{j}(x)=k \\ 1 \leqq j \leqq n}} 1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put further

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{j}} \tag{3a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n, k}=\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ q_{j}>k}}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{j}} . \tag{3b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all non-negative integers $k$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} Q_{n, k}=+\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f_{n}(k, x)}{Q_{n, k}}=1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For those values of $k$ for which $Q_{n, k}$ is bounded, $f_{n}(k, x)$ is bounded for almost all $x$. (For other related results see [4] and [5].)

In the present paper we consider the behaviour of

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(x)=\operatorname{Max}_{(k)} f_{n}(k, x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i. e. of the frequency of the most frequent number among the first $n$ digits.

We shall discuss the three most important types of behaviour of $M_{n}(x)$ :
Type 1. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=1$ for almost all $x$. This is the case if $q_{n}$ is constant or bounded, but also if e. g. $q_{n} \sim c n^{\beta}$ with $c>0$ and $0<\beta<1$ (see Theorem 1).

Type 2. $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=C$ for almost all $x$ where $1<C<+\infty$. This is the case e. g. if $q_{n} \sim c n$ with $c>0$ (see Theorem 2 ).

Type 3. $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=+\infty$ for almost all $x$. This is the case e. $g$. if $q_{n} \sim n(\log n)^{\alpha}$ with $0<\alpha \leqq 1$ (see Theorem 3 ).

There exist, of course, sequences $q_{n}$ for which $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}$ does not exist for almost all $x$, but we do not consider such cases in the present paper. We shall deal with the case when $\sum \frac{1}{q_{n}}<+\infty$ and with some other questions on Cantor's series in another paper.

All results obtained are based on the evident fact that the digits $\varepsilon_{n}(x)$, considered as random variables on the probability space $[\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathrm{P}]$, where $\Omega$ is the interval $(0,1)$, $\mathcal{Q}$ the set of all measurable subsets of $\Omega$ and $\mathbf{P}(A)$ is for $A \in \Omega$ the Lebesgue measure of $A$, are independent and have the probability distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\varepsilon_{n}(x)=k\right)=\frac{1}{q_{n}} \quad\left(k=0,1, \ldots, q_{n}-1\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## § 1. Type 1 behaviour of $M_{n}(x)$

In case $q_{n}$ is bounded, $q_{n} \leqq K$, we have by (5)

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{n}(0, x)}{Q_{n}}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{n}(k, x)}{Q_{n}} \leqq 1 \quad \text { for } \quad k \geqq 1
$$

and thus, as in this case $M_{n}(x)=\operatorname{Max}_{0 \leqq k<K} f_{n}(k, x)$, we obtain for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that (8) is valid under more general conditions. We prove in this direction the following

Theorem 1. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{Q_{n}}{\log n}=+\infty, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=1 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ denote the set of those numbers $n$ for which $q_{n}<n^{3}$. Let us denote the elements of the complementary set $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathfrak{A}$ by $n_{j}\left(n_{j}<n_{j+1} ; j=1,2, \ldots\right)$, then we have $n_{j} \geqq j$ and therefore $q_{n_{j}} \geqq n_{j}^{3} \geqq j^{3}$.

Then we have for any $k$

$$
\sum_{j \in \overline{\mathfrak{Q}}} \mathbf{P}\left(\varepsilon_{j}(x)=k\right)=\sum_{j} \mathbf{P}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}(x)=k\right)=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{q_{n_{j}}} \leqq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{3}}<+\infty
$$

and therefore, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma for almost every $x$, every $k$ occurs only a finite number of times in the sequence $\varepsilon_{n_{j}}(x)$. On the other hand, the probability that a number $k$ occurs more than once in the sequence $\varepsilon_{n_{j}}(x)$ ( $j=1,2, \ldots$ ) does not exceed

$$
W_{k}=\sum_{q_{n_{i}}>k} \frac{1}{q_{n_{i}} q_{n_{j}}}
$$

and we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} W_{k}=\sum_{i<j} \sum_{j} \frac{\min \left(q_{n_{i}}, q_{n_{j}}\right)}{q_{n_{i}} q_{n_{j}}}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j>i} \frac{1}{q_{n_{j}}} \leqq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j^{3}}<+\infty
$$

Thus, using again the Borel-Cantelli lemma, it follows that for almost all $x$ only a finite number of integers $k$ may occur more than once in the sequence $\varepsilon_{n_{i}}(x)$. This, together with what has been proved above, implies that for almost every $x$ in the sequence $\varepsilon_{n_{i}}(x)$ only a finite number of values occur more than once and these values occur also only a finite number of times. By other words, in proving Theorem 1 we may suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}<n^{3} \text { for all values of } n \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

without the restriction of generality.

Clearly, we have

$$
\frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \geqq \frac{f_{n}(0, x)}{Q_{n}}
$$

and thus, taking into account that owing to (9) condition (4) is fulfilled for $k=0$, it follows by (5) that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \geqq 1 .
$$

Thus to prove Theorem 1 it suffices to show that for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \leqq 1 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As by (4) we have for any $k_{0}$

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Max}_{n} f_{n}(k, x)}{f_{n}} \leqq 1,
$$

(12) will be proved if we show that for any $\varepsilon>0$ and for some $k_{0}$ which may depend on $\varepsilon$, putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}^{\left(k_{0}\right)}(x)=\operatorname{Max}_{k>k_{0}} f_{n}(k, x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}^{\left(k_{0}\right)}(x)}{Q_{n}} \leqq 1+\varepsilon . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (14) we start by calculating the probability $\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x)=j\right)$. In what follows $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ denote positive absolute constants. We evidently have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x)=j\right)=\left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leqq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{j} \leqq n \\ q_{i_{r}}>k ; r=1,2, \ldots, j}} \frac{1}{\left(q_{i_{1}}-1\right) \cdots\left(q_{i_{j}}-1\right)}\right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{h=1 \\ q_{h}>k}}^{n}\left(1-\frac{1}{q_{h}}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x)=j\right) \leqq e^{-Q_{n, k}} \frac{\left(Q_{n, k}^{*}\right)^{j}}{j!} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n, k}^{*}=\sum_{\substack{j \leq n_{j} \\ q_{j}>k}} \frac{1}{q_{j}-1} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the well-known identity

$$
e^{-\lambda} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{j}}{j!}=\frac{1}{N!} \int_{0}^{\lambda} t^{N} e^{-t} d t
$$

we obtain for $0<\lambda<\frac{N}{1+\varepsilon}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\lambda} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{j}}{j!} \leqq \frac{c_{1}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{N}} e^{-\frac{(N-\lambda)^{2}}{2 N}} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain for $0<\varepsilon<1$, in view of

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n, k}^{*} \leqq Q_{n, k}\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right) \leqq Q_{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right), \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}\right) \leqq \frac{c_{1}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{Q_{n}}} e^{\frac{Q_{n}}{k}} e^{-\frac{Q_{n}\left(e-\frac{1}{k}\right)^{2}}{4}} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain from (20) for $k \geqq \frac{8}{\varepsilon^{2}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}\right) \leqq \frac{c_{1}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{Q_{n}}} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} Q_{n}}{16}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies, putting $k_{0}=\left[\frac{8}{\varepsilon^{2}}\right]+1$ and taking (11) into account,
(22) $\mathbf{P}\left(M_{n}^{(k)}(x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}\right) \leqq \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{n^{3}} \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}\right) \leqq \frac{c_{1} n^{3}}{\varepsilon \sqrt{Q_{n}}} e^{-\frac{\varepsilon^{2} Q_{n}}{16}}$.

As by (9) we have for $n \geqq n_{0} Q_{n}>\frac{80}{\varepsilon^{2}} \log n$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(M_{n}^{\left(k_{0}\right)}(x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}\right) \leqq \frac{c_{2}}{n^{2}} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(M_{n}^{\left(k_{i}\right)}(x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}\right)<+\infty \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore by the lemma of Borel-Cantelli, the inequality $M_{n}^{\left(k_{0}\right)}(x) \geqq(1+\varepsilon) Q_{n}$ can be satisfied for almost all $x$ only for a finite number of values of $n$. This implies (14) for almost all $x$ which proves Theorem 1 .

## § 2. Type 2 behaviour of $M_{n}(x)$

In this § we shall prove the following rather surprising
Theorem 2. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<c_{2} \leqq \frac{q_{n}}{n} \leqq c_{3} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{Q_{n}}{\log n}=a>0, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=y(c) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=y(c)>1$ is the unique (real) solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y \log y=\frac{1}{c} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We start from the inequality, which follows simply from Stirling's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\lambda} \sum_{j=N}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{j}}{j!} \leqq c_{4}\left(\frac{\lambda e}{N}\right)^{N} e^{-\lambda} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $N>\beta \lambda$ with fixed $\beta>1$ where $c_{4}$ depends on $\beta$.
Now evidently (25) and (26) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n, k}=c \log \frac{n}{k}+o(\log n) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by virtue of (16), we have, if $Y>y(c)$ where $y(c)$ denotes the solution of the equation (28), for any $\varepsilon$ with $0<\varepsilon<\omega Y \log Y-1$ and $n \geqq n_{0}(\varepsilon)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{c_{9} n} \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq Y Q_{n}\right) \leqq \frac{c_{5}}{n^{\alpha} \overline{\log Y-1-\varepsilon}} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(M_{n}(x)>Y Q_{n}\right) \leqq \frac{c_{5}}{n^{\delta}} \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqq n_{0}(\varepsilon) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\omega Y \log Y-1-\varepsilon>0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(M_{2^{s}}(x)>Y Q_{2^{s}}\right)<+\infty \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore by the Borel-Cantelli lemma the number of those values of $s$ for which $M_{2^{s}}(x)>Y Q_{2^{s}}$ is finite for almost every $x$. If $2^{s-1}<n<2^{s}$, let us choose an arbitrary number $Y_{1}$ such that $y(c)<Y<Y_{1}$, then

$$
\frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \leqq \frac{M_{2^{s}}(x)}{Q_{2^{s}-1}} \leqq \frac{Y_{1}}{Y} \frac{M_{2^{s}}(x)}{Q_{2^{s}}}
$$

if $s \geqq s_{0}$. Thus, if for such an $n M_{n}(x)>Y_{1} Q_{n}$, then $M_{2^{s}}(x)>Y Q_{2^{s}}$. As the last inequality can be valid for almost all $x$ only for a finite number of values of $s$, it follows that $M_{n}(x)>Y_{1} Q_{n}$ is valid for almost all $x$ only for a finite number of values of $n$. As $Y_{1}$ may be equal to any number greater than $y(c)$, this implies that for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \leqq y(\alpha) . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to prove that we have also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lim }{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \geqq y(\alpha) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost all $x$.

As for any sequence of positive numbers $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{N}$ we have

$$
\sum_{1 \leqq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{j} \leqq N} b_{i_{1}} b_{i_{2}} \cdots b_{i_{j}} \geqq \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_{i}\right)^{j}}{j!}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_{i}^{2}\right) \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} b_{i}\right)^{j-2}}{(j-2)!},
$$

we obtain from (15)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x)=j\right) \geqq c_{6} e^{-Q_{n, k}}\left(\frac{Q_{n, k}^{j}}{j!}-\frac{Q_{n, k}^{j-2} \sum_{q_{i}>k, i \leqq n} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{2}}}{2(j-2)!}\right) . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that

$$
\sum_{i \leqq n, q_{i}>k} \frac{1}{q_{i}^{2}} \leqq \frac{c_{7}}{k}
$$

and that for $j=y Q_{n}$ and $k \leqq n^{1-\varepsilon}$

$$
\frac{j^{2}}{\left(Q_{n, k}\right)^{2}} \leqq \frac{c_{8}}{\varepsilon^{2}}
$$

if $1<y<y(c)$ where $y(\alpha)$ denotes the solution of (28) and $0<\varepsilon<1-a y \log y$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\log ^{2} n \geqq k<n} \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq y Q_{n}\right) \geqq c_{9} n^{\delta} \text { for } n \geqq n_{0}(\varepsilon) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=1-\alpha y \log y-\varepsilon>0$.
Now it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{1}, x\right)=j_{1}, f_{n}\left(k_{2}, x\right)=j_{2}\right) \leqq \\
\leqq\left(1+\frac{j_{1}}{k_{1}}\right)\left(1+\frac{j_{2}}{k_{2}}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{1}, x\right)=j_{1}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{2}, x\right)=j_{2}\right) \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows that for $k_{1} \geqq \log ^{3} n, k_{2} \geqq \log ^{3} n$ we have for any $y$ with $1<y<y(c)$, where $y(a)$ is the solution of the equation (28),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{1}, x\right) \geqq y Q_{n}, f_{n}\left(k_{2}, x\right) \geqq y Q_{n}\right) \leqq \\
\leqq \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{1}, x\right) \geqq y Q_{n}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{2}, x\right) \geqq y Q_{n}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\log ^{2} n}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

If we define $\eta_{n}=\eta_{n}(x)$ as the number of those values of $k$ for which $\log ^{2} n \leqq k \leqq n$ and $f_{n}(k, x) \geqq y Q_{n}$, we have, denoting by $\mathbf{M}\left(\eta_{n}\right)$ the mean value and by $\mathbf{D}^{2}\left(\eta_{n}\right)$ the variance of $\eta_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \geqq c_{9} n^{\delta} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{D}^{2}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \leqq c_{10} \frac{\mathbf{M}^{2}\left(\eta_{n}\right)}{\log ^{2} n} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by the inequality of Chebyshev

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(\eta_{n}=0\right) \leqq \mathbf{P}\left(\left|\eta_{n}-\mathbf{M}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right| \geqq \mathbf{M}\left(\eta_{n}\right)\right) \leqq \frac{c_{10}}{\log ^{2} n} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{P}\left(\eta_{2^{n}}=0\right)<+\infty . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that we have for almost all $x$

$$
M_{2^{n}}(x) \geqq y Q_{2^{n}} \quad \text { for } \quad n \geqq n_{0}(x) .
$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon>0$ and for $n \geqq n_{1}(x, \varepsilon)$ and $2^{n} \leqq N<2^{n+1}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{N}(x) \geqq M_{2^{n}}(x) \geqq y Q_{2^{n}} \geqq(y-\varepsilon) Q_{N} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that for almost all $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\lim }{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \geqq y . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $y$ may be any number not exceeding $y(c)$, we obtain from (44) that (35) is also valid for almost all $x$. Thus the proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

## § 3. Type 3 behaviour of $M_{n}(x)$

Now we shall prove a theorem which deals with conditions under which $\frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}$ tends to $+\infty$ for almost every $x$.

Theorem 3. Let us suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{q_{n}}{n}=+\infty, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

but at the same time

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} Q_{n}=+\infty . \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have for almost every $x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}}=+\infty . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof follows the same pattern as the second half of the proof of Theorem 2 (i. e. the proof of (35)).

We have from (45)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{q_{i}}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \frac{i}{q_{i}}=o(\log n), \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

further for any $A>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{q_{j}<e^{A Q_{n}}} \frac{1}{q_{j}}=o\left(\log e^{A Q_{n}}\right)=o\left(Q_{n}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n, k} \geqq Q_{n}(1-o(1)) \text { for } k \leqq e^{A Q_{n}} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (36) that for any $N>N_{0}>1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq N Q_{n}\right) \geqq e^{-N \log N \cdot Q_{n}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let us choose $A=3 N \log N$, then we have

$$
\sum_{Q_{n}^{2} \leqq k \leqq e^{A Q_{n}}} \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}(k, x) \geqq N Q_{n}\right) \geqq e^{2 N \log N \cdot Q_{n}} .
$$

On the other hand, we have from (38)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{1}, x\right) \geqq N Q_{n}, f_{n}\left(k_{2}, x\right) \geqq N Q_{n}\right) \leqq \\
\leqq \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{1}, x\right) \geqq N Q_{n}\right) \mathbf{P}\left(f_{n}\left(k_{2}, x\right) \geqq N Q_{n}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{Q_{n}}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and thus, defining $\eta_{n}=\eta_{n}(x)$ as the number of those values of $k$ for which $Q_{n}^{2} \leqq k \leqq e^{A Q_{n}}$ and $f_{n}(k, x)>N Q_{n}$, we have $\mathbf{M}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\mathbf{D}^{2}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \leqq c_{12} \frac{\mathbf{M}^{2}\left(\eta_{n}\right)}{Q_{n}}
$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2 we obtain that

$$
\frac{\lim }{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M_{n}(x)}{Q_{n}} \geqq N
$$

for almost all $x$. As $N$ may be chosen arbitrarily large, Theorem 3 follows.
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