# PROBLEMS AND RESULTS ON THE THEORY OF INTERPOLATION. II 

By
P. ERDŐS (Budapest), corresponding member of the Academy

Let $-1 \leqq x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n} \leqq 1$ be $n$ arbitrary points in the interval $(-1,+1) . \omega_{n}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right), l_{k}(x)=\omega_{n}(x) / \omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(x-x_{k}\right)$. It is well known that the sum $\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|$ plays a decisive role in the convergence and divergence properties of the Lagrange interpolation polynomials. Faber [1] proved that $\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|$ tends to infinity with $n$, in fact he proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|>\frac{1}{12} \log n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Later Fejér [2] obtained a very simple proof for (1). The problem of determining the $n$ points for which $\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|$ is minimal is unsolved up to the present. Bernstein [3] asserts that for every $\varepsilon>0$, if $n>n_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|>(1-\varepsilon) \frac{2}{\pi} \log n \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

BERNSTEIN in his important paper proved (2) in full detail for trigonometric interpolation. He states that (2) for interpolation in ( $-1,+1$ ) is a simple consequence of this result. I was not able to reconstruct the proof. However, we proved with TURÁN [4] that (2) is true, even if the right side is replaced by $\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c \log \log n$; here and throughout this paper $c, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ will denote positive absolute constants.

The main task of the present paper is the proof of the following
Theorem 1. Let $-1 \leqq x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n} \leqq 1$. Then

$$
\max _{-1 \leqq r \leqq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{1} .
$$

This result can not be improved very much, since it is known that for the roots of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomial $T_{n}(x)$

$$
\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|<\frac{2}{\pi} \log n+c_{2} .
$$

In fact, it is known and can be shown by a simple calculation that if $y_{1}<y_{2}<\cdots<y_{n}$ are the roots of $T_{n}(x)$, then

$$
\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{2}<\max _{y_{i}<x<y_{i+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|<\frac{2}{\pi} \log n+c_{2} .
$$

Let ${ }_{x_{1}^{(2)}}^{x_{2}^{(1)}} x_{2}^{(2)}$ be a triangular matrix called point group in the theory of interpolation, $-1 \leqq x_{1}^{(n)}<x_{2}^{(n)}<\cdots<x_{n}^{(n)} \leqq 1$. BERNSTEIN [3] proved that there exists an $x_{0}\left(-1<x_{0}<1\right)$ so that

$$
\overline{\lim } \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|=\infty
$$

More precisely, he proved that for every fixed $-1 \leqq a<b \leqq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{a<x<b} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|>\left(\frac{1}{4}-\varepsilon\right) \log n \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n>n_{0}(\varepsilon, a, b)$. I think that in (3) $\frac{1}{4}$ can be replaced by $\frac{2}{\pi}$, but I have not been able to prove this.

In my paper [5] I stated that I can prove that there exists an $x_{0}$ so that for infinitely many $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4) is quite possibly true, but unfortunately I am very far from being able to prove it.

To prove our Theorem we first need some lemmas.
Lemma 1. Let $\cos \theta_{i}=y_{i}(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ be the roots of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomial $T_{n}(x)$. Then for every $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ and $t>c_{3}$

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t}\left|\frac{\left(1-y_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x-y_{i}^{\prime}}\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{4} \log t
$$

where $\Sigma_{t}$ denotes that the summation is extended only over those $y_{i}$ 's for which $\left|\theta-\theta_{i}\right|>t \pi / n, \cos \theta=x$.

The proof of Lemma 1 is by simple computation and is left to the reader. $\cos \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}=x_{0}$ will denote the point in $(-1,+1)$ where $\left|\omega_{n}(x)\right|$ assumes its absolute maximum. $\bar{I}_{t}$ will denote the intersection with $(0, \pi)$ of an interval of length $t \pi / n$, one endpoint of which is $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}, I_{t}$ will be the interval in $(-1,+1)$ obtained from $\bar{I}_{t}$ by the mapping $\cos \boldsymbol{\theta}=x$. There are two intervals $I_{t}$, one to the right, the other to the left of $x_{0}$.

Lemma 2. Assume that there exists a $t>c_{3}$ so that for every $t^{\prime} \geqq t$ every interval $I_{t^{\prime}}$ contains more than $t^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(\log t^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) x_{i}$ 's. Then

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{i}}\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{5} \log t
$$

The term $\left|\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|$ is really understood to mean $\max \left(\left|\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|, \frac{1}{n}\right)$, to save space I will always replace this by $\left|\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|$.

Let $y_{i}$ be such that there are $k y$ 's in the interval $\left(x_{0}, y_{i}\right)$, and let $x_{i^{\prime}}$ be such that there are $k x^{\prime}$ 's in $\left(x_{0}, x_{i^{\prime}}\right)$. Clearly $\theta_{i}-\theta_{0}=\frac{k \pi+O(1)}{n}$ and by our condition on the $x$ 's

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta_{i^{\prime}}-\vartheta_{0}<\frac{k \pi}{n}+\frac{c_{6} k \pi}{n(\log k)^{2}}+\frac{t \pi}{n}<\frac{k \pi}{n}+\frac{c_{7} k \pi}{n(\log k)^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k>t^{2}$. From (5) we obtain by a simple trigonometrical calculation for $k>t^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{i}}\right|-\left|\frac{\left(1-y_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{y_{0}-y_{i}}\right|>-\frac{c_{8}}{k(\log k)^{2}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 immediately follows from (6) and Lemma 1.
Lemma 3. Assume that the $x_{i}$ 's and $x_{0}$ have the same properties as in Lemma 2 and the further property that for some $t^{\prime}>t$ there is an $I_{t^{\prime}}$ which contains more than $t^{\prime 3} x_{i}$ 's. Then if $t>c_{3}$,

$$
\sum=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{i}}\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n
$$

Let $t^{*}$ be the greatest $t^{\prime}$ for which an interval $I_{t^{*}}$ contains $t^{* 3} x$ 's. Write

$$
\Sigma=\Sigma^{\prime}+\sum_{t^{*}}
$$

where in $\Sigma^{\prime}\left|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}-\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{i}\right| \leqq \frac{t^{*} \pi}{n}$ and in $\Sigma_{i^{*}}\left|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}\right|>\frac{t^{*} \pi}{n}$.

As in the proof of Lemma 2 we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{t^{*}}>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{9} \log t^{*} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple trigonometrical computation shows that for the $x_{i}$ 's in $\Sigma^{\prime}$ $\left(\right.$ here $\left|\boldsymbol{Y}_{i}-\boldsymbol{Y}_{0}\right| \leqq \frac{t^{*} \pi}{n}$ and by our remark $\left.\left|\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right| \geqq \frac{1}{n}\right)$

$$
\frac{1}{n}\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{i}}\right|>\frac{c_{10}}{t^{* 2}}
$$

Thus, since there are at least $t^{* 3}$ summands in $\Sigma^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{\prime}>c t^{\prime} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(7) and (8) imply Lemma 3 for sufficiently large $t>c_{3}$.

Lemma 4. Let $\cos \lambda_{0}=x_{0}$ be any point in $(-1,+1)$. There exists a polynomial $F_{r}(x)$ of degree $r$ for which $F_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$ and

$$
\left|F_{r}\left[\cos \left(\lambda_{0}+s \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right]\right|<\frac{c_{11}}{|s|}
$$

if $\lambda_{0}+\frac{s \pi}{n}$ is in $(0, \pi)$.
Lemma 4 is well known [6].
Lemma 5. Let $g_{m}(x)$ be any polynomial of degree $m$, assume that it assumes its absolute maximum in $(-1,+1)$ at $\cos \lambda_{0}=z_{0}$. Then if $\cos \lambda_{i}=z_{i}$ is any root of $g_{m}(x)$, we have

$$
\left|\lambda_{0}-\lambda_{i}\right| \geqq \frac{\pi}{2 m},
$$

equality only holds if $g_{m}(x)=T_{m}(x)$.
This is a theorem of M. Riesz [7].
Lemma 6. Assume that the $x_{i}$ 's are such that there is a $t>c_{12}$ so that at least one of the intervals $I_{t}$ contains fewer than $t\left(1-\frac{1}{(\log t)^{2}}\right) x_{i}$ 's, and that for $t^{\prime} \geqq t$ the intervals $I_{t^{\prime}}$ contain not more than $t^{\prime 3} x_{i}$ 's. Then

$$
\max _{x_{k} \subset \mid=I_{t}} \max _{x \text { in } J_{t}}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|>t
$$

where by $J_{t}\left(J_{t} \subset I_{t}\right)$ we denote the interval

$$
J_{t}=\left\{\cos \left(\vartheta_{0}+\frac{t \pi}{n(\log t)^{3}}\right), \cos \left(\vartheta_{0}+\frac{t \pi}{n}-\frac{t \pi}{n(\log t)^{3}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Lemma 6 is very far from being best-possible, the conditions could be weakened and the conclusions strengthened, but it will suffice for our purpose in its present form. The proof of Lemma 6 is the most difficult part of the paper [8].

Let $g_{n}(x)$ be a polynomial whose roots in $I_{t}$ coincide with those of $\omega_{n}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right)$ and outside of $J_{t}$ they coincide with the roots of the $m^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomial $T_{m}(x), m=\left[n\left(1-\frac{1}{(\log t)^{3}}\right)\right]$. By our assumptions the degree of $g(x)$ is less than

$$
\begin{equation*}
t-\frac{t}{(\log t)^{2}}+m-t\left(1-\frac{2}{(\log t)^{3}}\right)<m \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>c_{12}$ (i.e. the degree of $g_{m}(x)$ equals the number of $x_{i}$ in $I_{t}$ plus $m$ minus the number of roots of $T_{m}(x)$ in $J_{t}$ ).

From Lemma 5 and (9) it follows that $g(x)$ must assume its absolute maximum for $(-1,+1)$ in $J_{t}$ at the point $\cos \lambda_{0}=z_{0}$, say.

Denote by $I_{t}^{(l)}(l=1,2, \ldots)$ the intersection with $(-1,+1)$ of the intervals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\cos \left(\vartheta_{0}+\frac{2^{l-1} t \pi}{n}\right), \cos \left(\vartheta_{0}+\frac{2^{l} t \pi}{n}\right)\right\} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\cos \left(\theta_{0}-\frac{\left(2^{l}-1\right) t \pi}{n}\right), \cos \left(\theta_{0}-\frac{\left(2^{l-1}-1\right) t \pi}{n}\right)\right\} .
$$

We now apply Lemma 4 with $\left.r=\left\lvert\, \frac{n(\log t)^{4}}{t}\right.\right]$. Since $\cos \lambda_{0}=z_{0}$ is in $J_{t}$ and the distance of the endpoints of $\overline{J_{t}}$ from the endpoints of $\bar{I}_{t}$ (in 9 ) is $\frac{t \pi}{n(\log t)^{3}}$, we obtain from Lemma 4 by a simple computation that for the $x$ 's in $l_{t}^{(l)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F_{r}(x)\right|<\frac{1}{2^{l}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $t$ (i. e. the $s$ in Lemma 4 is for $l=1$ not less than $\log t$ [ $z_{0}$ is in $J_{t}$ ] and for $l>1$ it is not less than $2^{l-1} \log t$ ).

Consider now

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=A g(x)\left(F_{r}(x)\right)^{\left[t /(\log t)^{s}\right]} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is chosen so that $G\left(z_{0}\right)=1$. The degree of $G(x)$ is not greater than $\left(m=\left[n\left(1-\frac{t}{(\log t)^{3}}\right)\right]\right)$

$$
n-\frac{n}{(\log t)^{3}}+\frac{t}{(\log t)^{8}} \frac{n(\log t)^{4}}{t}<n .
$$

Thus by the Lagrange interpolation formula (taken on $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ) we have by (12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=G\left(z_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} G\left(x_{i}\right) l_{i}\left(z_{0}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $x_{i}$ 's in $I_{t} G\left(x_{i}\right)=0$. Thus we can write (13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \sum^{(l)} G\left(x_{i}\right) l_{i}\left(z_{0}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in $\Sigma^{(l)}$ the summation is extended over the $x_{i}$ 's in $I_{t}^{(i)}$. The summation in (14) clearly has to be extended only over a finite number of $l$ 's.

Since $\left|g\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geqq|g(x)|$ for $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ and $F_{r}\left(z_{0}\right)=1$, we obtain from (11) and (12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G\left(x_{i}\right)\right|<\left(\frac{1}{2^{l}}\right)^{\left.[t / / \log t)^{\S}\right]} \text { for the } x_{i}^{\prime} s \text { in } l_{t}^{(l)} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that our Lemma is false. Then for all $i \not \subset I_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{i}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \leqq t \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further by the assumptions of our Lemma the number of the $x_{i}$ 's in $I_{t}^{(l)}$ is not greater than $2^{3 l+1} t^{3}$ (since $I_{t}^{(i)}$ is contained in the union of the two intervals $I_{2^{\prime} t}$ ). Thus, finally, we obtain from (14), (15) and (16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<t^{4} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{3 l+1}\left(\frac{1}{2^{l}}\right)^{\left[t /(\log t)^{s}\right]} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The terms of the series (17) drop faster than a geometric series of quotient $\frac{1}{2}$, thus (17) implies

$$
1<32 t^{4}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\left[t /(\log t)^{s}\right]}
$$

which is clearly false for $t>c_{12}$. This contradiction proves the Lemma.
Now we are ready to prove our Theorem. In fact, we shall show that if $x_{0}$ is the place in $(-1,+1)$ where $\omega_{n}(x)$ assumes its absolute maximum, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sufficiently large $c_{1}$. We can clearly assume $\omega_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)=1$ (replacing $\omega_{n}(x)$ by $c \omega_{n}(x)$ ), and thus by the classical theorem of Bernstein

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega_{n}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}\right)\right| \leqq \min \left(n^{2}, \frac{n}{\left|1-x_{k}^{2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \geqq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{k}}\right| . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the constant $c_{12}$ be sufficiently large. If for every $t>c_{12}$ every $I_{t}$ contains more than $t\left(1-\frac{1}{(\log t)^{2}}\right) x$ 's, then our Theorem follows from (20) and Lemma 2. Assume next that there exists a $t>c_{12}$ for which $I_{t}$ contains not more than $t\left(1-\frac{1}{(\log t)^{2}}\right) x$ 's, and let $t_{0}$ be the largest such $t$. Assume first that there exists a $t^{\prime} \geqq t_{0}$ for which $I_{t^{\prime}}$ contains more than $t^{\prime 3} x$ 's, then our Theorem follows from (20) and Lemma 3. If no such $t^{\prime}$ exists, consider the largest interval $I_{t_{0}}$ which contains not more than $t_{0}\left(1-\frac{1}{\left(\log t_{0}\right)^{2}}\right) x_{k}$ 's. By Lemma 6 there is an $x_{i}$ not in $I_{t_{0}}$ so that for a certain $z_{0}$ in $J_{t_{0}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l_{i}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|>t_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $z_{0}$ is in $J_{t_{0}}\left(\cos \lambda_{0}=z_{0}, \cos \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}=x_{0}, \cos \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{i}=x_{i}, x_{i} \not \subset I_{t_{0}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}\right| \leqq\left(\log t_{0}\right)^{3}\left|\boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{i}-\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{0}\right| . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (22) by a simple computation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{i}-x_{0}\right|<\left(\log t_{0}\right)^{6}\left|x_{i}-z_{0}\right| . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (23), (21) and $\left|\omega_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \geqq\left|\omega_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\frac{t_{0}}{\left(\log t_{0}\right)^{6}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{k}}\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{13} \log t_{1} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dash indicates that $k=i$ is omitted. (25) holds, since a simple computation shows from Lemma 5 that

$$
\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{i}}\right|<c_{14} n
$$

Thus, finally, from (20), (24) and (25) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \geqq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{x_{0}-x_{k}}\right|+ \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+\left|l_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n-c_{13} \log t_{0}+\frac{t_{0}}{\left(\log t_{0}\right)^{6}}>\frac{2}{\pi} \log n
$$

if $t$ is sufficiently large ( $t>c_{13}$, say). Thus the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.
It would have been possible to organize the proof differently, since it can be shown that $I_{t}$ can never contain more than $t^{3} x_{i}$ 's. In fact, we have the following

Theorem 2. Let $\omega_{n}(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right)$ (we do not assume that the $x_{i}$ 's are in $(-1,+1)$ ). Assume that $\omega_{n}(x)$ assumes its absolute maximum in $(-1,+1)$ at $\cos \boldsymbol{\vartheta}_{0}=x_{0}$. Then every interval $I_{t}$ contains at most $c_{14} t$ of the $x_{i}$ 's.

We do not give the proof of Theorem 2. The best value of $c_{14}$ is not known. Perhaps $c_{14}=2$.

The problem of determining the points $-1 \leqq x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n} \leqq 1$ for which

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right| d x
$$

is a minimum is unsolved, and so far as I know has not yet been considered. I believe that to every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists an $n_{0}$ so that for $n>n_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right| d x>(1-\varepsilon) \int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|L_{k}(x)\right| d x \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{k}(x)=\frac{T_{n}(x)}{T_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{k}\right)\left(x-y_{k}\right)}$ are the fundamental functions of the Lagrange interpolation taken at the roots $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}$ of the $n^{\text {th }}$ Chebyshev polynomial. I have not been able to prove (27), but I can prove the following weaker

Theorem 3. There exists a constant $c_{15}$ so that for every $-1_{1} \leqq x_{1}<$ $<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n} \leqq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|l_{k}(x)\right| d x>c_{15} \log n \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, to every $\varepsilon$ there exists a $\delta$ so that the number of indices $1 \leqq k \leqq n$, for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{+1}\left|l_{k}(x)\right| d x<\frac{\delta \log n}{n}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is less than $\varepsilon n$, and the number of $k$ 's, for which $\int_{-1}^{+1}\left|l_{k}(x)\right| d x>\frac{c_{16}}{n}$ is less than $c_{17} \frac{n}{\log n}$.

We do not give the proof of Theorem 3, it can be obtained by using the methods of my paper [5].

As far as I know the problem of determining the sequence $-1 \leqq x_{1}<$ $<x_{2}<\cdots<x_{n} \leqq 1$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} l_{k}^{2}(x) d x \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is minimal has not been considered. It is possible that the integral (30) is minimal if the $x_{i}$ 's are the roots of the integral of the Legendre polynomial. Fejér [9] proved that these are the only points for which

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} l_{k}^{2}(x) \leqq 1 \quad \text { for } \quad-1 \leqq x \leqq 1
$$

TheOrem 4. To every $\varepsilon$ there exists an $n_{0}$ so that for every $n>n_{0}$ the integral (30) is greater than $2-\varepsilon$.

We only outline the idea of the proof. If the projections of the points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ on the unit circle are not asymptotically uniformly distributed, then there exists a $k$ so that [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{-1 \leqq x \leqq 1}\left|l_{k}(x)\right|>(1+\delta)^{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (31) by Markov's theorem

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} l_{k}^{2}(x) d x>\frac{(1+\delta)^{2 n}}{8 n^{2}}>2
$$

for $n>n_{0}$. Thus we can assume that the projections of the $x_{k}$ 's on the unit circle are asymptotically uniformly distributed. In this case we obtain our Theorem by showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} l_{k}^{2}(x) d x>(1-\varepsilon) \int_{-1}^{+1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} L_{k}^{2}(x) d x \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{k}(x)=\frac{P_{n}(x)}{P_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{k}\right)\left(x-z_{k}\right)}\left(P_{n}(x)=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(x-z_{k}\right)\right)$ is the $n^{\text {th }}$ Legendre polynomial. The proof of (32) follows easily from the fact that

$$
\int_{-1}^{+1} L_{k}^{2}(x) d x \leqq \int_{-1}^{+1} f_{n-1}^{2}(x) d x
$$

where $f_{n-1}(x)$ is any polynomial of degree $\leqq n-1$ for which $f_{n-1}\left(z_{k}\right)=1$, and by a simple computation. We suppress the details.

## (Received 7 July 1960)

## References

[1] G. Faber, Über die interpolatorische Darstellung stetiger Funktionen, Jahresb. der Deutschen Math. Ver., 23 (1914), pp. 190-210.
[2] L. Fejér, Die Abschätzung eines Polynoms in einem Intervalle, wenn Schranken für seine Werte und ersten Ableitungswerte in einzelnen Punkten des Intervalles gegeben sind, und ihre Anwendung auf die Konvergenz Hermitescher Interpolationsreihen, Math. Zeitschrift, 32 (1930), pp. 426-457.
[3] S. Bernstein, Sur la limitation des valeurs d'un polynôme, Bull. Acad. Sci. de l'URSS, 8 (1931), pp. 1025-1050.
[4] P. Erdős and P. Turán, An extremal problem in the theory of interpolation, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 12 (1961), pp. 221-234.
[5] P. Erdős, Problems and results on the theory of interpolation. I, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 9 (1958), pp. 381-388.
[6] P. Erdős, On some convergence properties of the interpolation polynomials, Annals of Math., 44 (1943), Lemma 2, p. 331.
[7] M. Riesz, Eine trigonometrische Interpolationsformel und einige Ungleichungen für Polynome, Jahresb. der Deutschen Math. Ver., 23 (1914), pp. 354-368.
[8] The proof of Lemma 6 is similar to the one used in P. Erdős, Annals of Math., 43 (1942), pp. 59-64; see also P. Erdős and P. Turán, ibid., 41 (1940), pp. 510-553.
[9] L. Fejér, Bestimmung derjenigen Abszissen eines Intervalles, für welche die Quadratsumme der Grundfunktionen der Lagrangeschen Interpolation im Intervalle $[-1,+1]$ ein möglichst kleines Maximum besitzt, Annali della Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa (2), 1 (1932), pp. 3-16.
[10] P. Erdős and P. Turán, On Interpolation. III, Annals of Math., 41 (1940), pp. 510-553.

