Diagonals of Nonnegative Matrices

PAUL ERDÖS and HENRYK MINC†

Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel and University of California, Santa Barbara 93106, U.S.A.

(Received June 15, 1972)

Let (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , (r_1, \ldots, r_n) and (c_1, \ldots, c_n) be real *n*-tuples, $n \ge 3$, satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \text{ and } 0 \le a_i \le \min(r_i, c_i), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.$

It is shown that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a nonnegative matrix with main diagonal (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , with row sums r_1, \ldots, r_n and column sums c_1, \ldots, c_n , is that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (r_i - a_i) \ge \max_t (r_t + c_t - 2a_i).$$

Equality can hold if and only if all the off-diagonal positive entries of the matrix are restricted to the kth row and the kth column, for some k, $1 \le k \le n$.

If $A = (a_{ij})$ is an *n*-square matrix and σ is a permutation on *n* objects, then the *n*-tuple $(a_{1\sigma(1)}, a_{2\sigma(2)}, \ldots, a_{n\sigma(n)})$ is called a *diagonal* of *A*. The sums

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

are the row sums, and

$$c_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij}, \qquad j = 1, \ldots, n,$$

are the column sums of A. The matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is said to be nonnegative if $a_{ij} \ge 0$ for all i and j.

In this paper we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for an *n*-tuple to be a diagonal of a nonnegative matrix with prescribed row sums and column sums. Clearly we may assume without loss of generality that the diagonal in question is the main diagonal.

[†] The work of this author was supported in part by the U.S. Air Force of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR-72-2164.

THEOREM Let (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , (r_1, \ldots, r_n) and (c_1, \ldots, c_n) be n-tuples, $n \ge 3$, satisfying

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \text{ and } 0 \leq a_{i} \leq \min(r_{i}, c_{i}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a nonnegative matrix with main diagonal (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , with row sums r_1, \ldots, r_n and column sums c_1, \ldots, c_n , is that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - a_i) \ge \max_t (r_t + c_t - 2a_t).$$
(1)

Equality can hold in (1) if and only if the nonzero entries of the matrix are restricted to the main diagonal, the kth row and the kth column, where k is defined by

$$r_k + c_k - 2a_k = \max_t (r_t + c_t - 2a_t).$$

Proof Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a nonnegative matrix with row sums r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n and column sums c_1, \ldots, c_n . Clearly the sum of all off-diagonal entries of A cannot be exceeded by the sum of off-diagonal entries in row t and column t; that is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - a_{ii}) \ge (r_t - a_{ti}) + (c_t - a_{ti}),$$

 $t = 1, \ldots, n$. In other words,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - a_{ii}) \ge \max_{t} (r_t + c_t - 2a_{tt}).$$

We prove the sufficiency by induction on n.

We can assume without loss of generality that

$$r_1 + c_1 - 2a_1 \ge r_2 + c_2 - 2a_2 \ge \cdots \ge r_n + c_n - 2a_n.$$
 (2)

With this assumption the condition (1) becomes

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - a_i) \ge r_1 + c_1 - 2a_1.$$
(3)

For n = 3, this condition asserts that

$$r_2 - a_2 + r_3 - a_3 \ge c_1 - a_1, \tag{4}$$

or equivalently

 $c_2 - a_2 + c_3 - a_3 \ge r_1 - a_1.$

Suppose first that $c_1 - a_1 \ge r_3 - a_3$ and $r_1 - a_1 \ge c_2 - a_2$. Then the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & c_2 - a_2 & r_1 - a_1 - (c_2 - a_2) \\ c_1 - a_1 - (r_3 - a_3) & a_2 & r_2 - a_2 + r_3 - a_3 - (c_1 - a_1) \\ r_3 - a_3 & 0 & a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

is nonnegative and has the prescribed diagonal, row sums and column sums. If either $c_1 - a_1 \leq r_3 - a_3$ or $r_1 - a_1 \leq c_2 - a_2$ (and therefore $r_1 - a_1 \geq c_3 - a_3$) $c_3 - a_3$ or $c_1 - a_1 \ge r_2 - a_2$, by (2)), then the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & r_1 - a_1 - (c_3 - a_3) + x & c_3 - a_3 - x \\ r_2 - a_2 - x & a_2 & x \\ c_1 - a_1 - (r_2 - a_2) + x & r_3 - a_3 + r_2 - a_2 - (c_1 - a_1) - x & a_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $x = \min(c_3 - a_3, r_2 - a_2)$, is nonnegative and satisfies all the prescribed conditions.

Assume now that the theorem holds for all nonnegative $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrices. Let

$$\delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - a_i) - r_1 - c_1 + 2a_1 \ge 0$$

and set

$$x_{1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} \leq \delta, \\ \min(r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} - \delta, r_{1} - a_{1}), & \text{if } r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$
(5)
nd

a

$$y_1 = \max(r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta - x_1, 0).$$

By (5), $0 \le x_1 \le r_1 - a_1$. It is also easy to see that $0 \le y_1 \le c_1 - a_1$. For if $y_1 = r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta - x_1 > 0$, then $x_1 = r_1 - a_1$, and

$$c_{1} - a_{1} - y_{1} = c_{1} - a_{1} - \left\{ r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{i} - a_{i}) + r_{1} + c_{1} - 2a_{1} - (r_{1} - a_{1}) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{i} - a_{i}) - (r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n})$$
$$\ge 0.$$

Now, let x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1} and y_2, \ldots, y_{n-1} be any numbers satisfying

$$0 \leq x_i \leq r_i - a_i, \qquad 0 \leq y_i \leq c_i - a_i, \qquad i = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i = c_n - a_n, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} y_i = r_n - a_n.$$
(7)

We have to show that such numbers exist, i.e., that

$$x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (r_i - a_i) \ge c_n - a_n$$
(8)

and

$$y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (c_i - a_i) \ge r_n - a_n.$$
 (9)

If $x_1 = 0$ and $\delta \ge r_n + c_n - 2a_n$, then $x_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (r_i - a_i) - (c_n - a_n) = \delta - (r_n - a_n) + (c_1 - a_1) - (c_n - a_n)$ $\ge c_1 - a_1$ $\ge 0.$

If $x_1 = r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta \ge 0$, then

$$x_{1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (r_{i} - a_{i}) - (c_{n} - a_{n})$$

= $r_{n} - a_{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{i} - a_{i}) + (r_{1} + c_{1} - 2a_{1}) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (r_{i} - a_{i})$
= $c_{1} - a_{1}$.

Finally, if $x_1 = r_1 - a_1$, then (8) holds by virtue of (1). Inequality (9) is proved similarly. If $r_n + c_n - 2a_n \le \delta$, the proof is a virtual repetition of the first case above.

If
$$0 \le r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta \le r_1 - a_1$$
, i.e.,
 $r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \sum_{i=2}^n (c_i - a_i) + r_1 - a_1 \le r_1 - a_1$,

then

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} (c_i - a_i) \ge r_n + c_n - 2a_n.$$
(10)

Thus, in this case,

$$y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (c_i - a_i) = 0 + \sum_{i=2}^n (c_i - a_i) - (c_n - a_n)$$

$$\ge r_n - a_n,$$

by (10). Finally, if $r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta \ge r_1 - a_1$, then $x_1 = r_1 - a_1$, and

$$y_{1} = r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} - \delta - x_{1}$$

= $r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} - \delta - (r_{1} - a_{1})$
= $r_{n} - a_{n} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (c_{i} - a_{i})$
 $\ge 0.$

Thus

$$y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (c_i - a_i) = r_n - a_n.$$

Next we use the induction hypothesis to show that there exists an (n - 1)-square nonnegative matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ with main diagonal (a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) , row sums $r_i - x_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, and column sums $c_i - y_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$.

We first show that

$$(r_1 - x_1) + (c_1 - y_1) - 2a_1 = \max_i ((r_i - x_i) + (c_i - y_i) - 2a_i).$$
(11)

In fact we prove that

$$(r_1 - x) + (c_1 - y_1) - 2a_1 \ge r_2 + c_2 - 2a_2, \tag{12}$$

and therefore

$$(r_1 - x_1) + (c_1 - y_1) - 2a_1 \ge r_i + c_i - 2a_i, \quad i = 2, ..., n - 1.$$

It suffices to prove inequality (12) in case $r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta \ge 0$. Then $x_1 + y_1 = r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta$ and therefore

$$(r_{1} - x_{1}) + (c_{1} - y_{1}) - 2a_{1} - (r_{2} + c_{2} - 2a_{2})$$

$$= r_{1} + c_{1} - 2a_{1} - r_{2} - c_{2} + 2a_{2} - (r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} - \delta)$$

$$= r_{1} + c_{1} - 2a_{1} - r_{2} - c_{2} + 2a_{2} - r_{n} - c_{n} + 2a_{n}$$

$$+ \sum_{r=2}^{n} (r_{i} - a_{i}) - c_{1} + a_{1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_{i} - a_{i}) - (r_{2} + c_{2} - 2a_{2} + r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{n} (r_{i} + c_{i} - 2a_{i}) - (r_{2} + c_{2} - 2a_{2} + r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (r_{1} + c_{1} - 2a_{1}) - (r_{2} + c_{2} - 2a_{2}) + r_{n} + c_{n} - 2a_{n} \right\}$$

≥ 0,

by (2). We now show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left((r_i - x_i) - a_i \right) \ge (r_1 - x_1) + (c_1 - y_1) - 2a_1$$

and thus, by the induction hypothesis, that the matrix B exists. If

$$x_1 + y_1 = r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta \ge 0,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left((r_i - x_i) - a_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(r_i - a_i \right) - (r_n - a_n) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i$$
$$= r_1 + c_1 - 2a_1 + \delta - (r_n - a_n) - (c_n - a_n)$$
$$= r_1 + c_1 - 2a_1 - x_1 - y_1.$$

If $x_1 + y_1 = 0$, that is $r_n + c_n - 2a_n - \delta \leq 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ((r_i - x_i) - a_i) = r_1 + c_1 - 2a_1 + \delta - (r_n - a_n) - (c_n - a_n)$$

$$\geq r_1 + c_1 - 2a_1$$

$$= (r_1 - x_1) + (c_1 - y_1) - 2a_1.$$

Thus there exists an (n - 1)-square matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ with

$$b_{ii} = a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and with rows sums $r_i - x_i$, i = 1, ..., n - 1, and column sums $c_i - y_i$, i = 1, ..., n - 1. It follows that the $n \times n$ matrix

$$A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{n-1} \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= b_{ij}, & i, j = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{in} &= x_i, & i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ a_{nj} &= y_j, & j = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

and

 $a_{nn} = a_n,$

has the required properties, i.e., main diagonal (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) , row sums r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n and column sums c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n .

It remains to discuss the case of equality. With assumption (2), we have to show that if

	[a ₁	$c_2 - a_2$	$c_{3} - a$	3	$c_n - a_n$	
	$r_2 - a_2$	a_2	0	0 · · ·	0	
	$r_3 - a_3$	0	a_3	0 · · ·	0	
A =	•				•	(13)
	•	•				
					0	
	$r_n - a_n$	0	0	00	a_n	

and A is nonnegative, then (3) is an equality, and conversely if equality holds in (3) then the only nonnegative matrix with main diagonal (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , row sums r_1, \ldots, r_n and column sums c_1, \ldots, c_n is the matrix in (13). These conclusions are quite obvious, since

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (r_i - a_i) = (r_1 - a_1) + (c_1 - a_1)$$

asserts that the sum of all off-diagonal entries is equal to the sum of the offdiagonal entries in the first row and those in the first column. By setting $r_1 = \cdots = r_n = c_1 = \cdots = c_n = 1$ we obtain

COROLLARY An *n*-tuple (a_1, \ldots, a_n) , where $0 \le a_i \le 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is a diagonal of a doubly stochastic matrix if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \leqslant n - 2 + 2 \min_i a_i$$

The result in the corollary is due to A. Horn [1].

Reference

[1] Alfred Horn, Doubly stochastic matrices, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), 621-630.

AMS 1970 Subject classification: Primary 15A48, 15A45.