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Given two graphs $G_{1}, G_{2}$, the Ramsey number $R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ is the smallest integer $m$ such that, for any partition $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ of the edges of $K_{m}$, either $G_{1}$ is a subgraph of the graph induced by $E_{1}$, or $G_{2}$ is a subgraph of the graph induced by $E_{2}$. We show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(C_{n}, C_{n}\right) & =2 n-1 \text { if } n \text { is odd, } \\
R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r-1}\right) & =2 n-1 \text { if } n>r(2 r-1), \\
R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r}\right) & =n+r-1 \text { if } n>4 r^{2}-r+2, \\
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right) & \leqslant n r^{2} \text { for all } r, n, \\
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right) & =(r-1)(n-1)+1 \text { if } n \geqslant r^{2}-2, \\
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}^{t+1}\right) & =t(n-1)+r \text { for large } n .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 1. Introduction

We are here concerned with undirected graphs that are finite and have no loops or multiple edges. Let $G$ be such a graph; we write $V(G)$ for the vertex set of $G$, and $E(G)$ for the edge set of $G ;|V(G)|$ is the order of $G$, $|E(G)|$ the size of $G$. If $E^{\prime} \subseteq E(G), E^{\prime}$ will also denote the partial subgraph of $G$ with edge set $E^{\prime} . C_{n}$ denotes the cycle of length $n, K_{n}$ the complete graph of order $n$, and $K\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t}\right)$ the complete $t$-partite graph with parts of cardinalities $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t}$; when each $r_{i}=r$ this will be written $K_{r}{ }^{t}$.

Let $k$ be finite and let

$$
m \rightarrow\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right)
$$

signify the truth of the statement: for any partition $\left(E_{1}, \ldots, E_{k}\right)$ of $E\left(K_{m}\right)$ there is an $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, such that $G_{i}$ is a subgraph of $E_{i}$. It follows from Ramsey's theorem that, for any collection of graphs $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$, there is a finite $m$ such that $m \rightarrow\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right)$. We denote the least such $m$ by $R\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right)$.

The Ramsey function $R$ has been studied in detail for complete graphs $G_{i}$, although exact values are generally unknown. Chvátal and Harary
[3,4] determined $R\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right)$ for all $G_{1}, G_{2}$ of order at most four, and Chartrand and Schuster [2] have shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R\left(C_{n}, C_{3}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
6, & n=3, \\
2 n-1, & n>3,
\end{array}\right. \\
& R\left(C_{n}, C_{4}\right)= \begin{cases}6, & n=4, \\
7, & n=5, \\
n+1, & n>5,\end{cases} \\
& R\left(C_{n}, C_{5}\right)=2 n-1, n>2, \\
& R\left(C_{6}, C_{6}\right)=8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this paper we investigate $R\left(C_{n}, C_{r}\right)$ for arbitrary $r \leqslant n$. It was conjectured by W. G. Brown that, for $n>n_{0}(r)$,

$$
2 n-1 \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, C_{r}\right) .
$$

We prove this (with $n_{0}(r)=\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{2}+r\right)$ ); it follows easily that, for odd $r$ and $n>\frac{1}{2}\left(r^{2}+r\right)$,

$$
R\left(C_{n}, C_{r}\right)=2 n-1 .
$$

It seems likely that, for $n>3$ and all $r \leqslant n, 2 n-1 \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, C_{r}\right)$, but we can only prove at present that

$$
2 n-1 \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, C_{n}\right), \quad n>3 .
$$

We also show that, for $n>4 r^{2}-r+2$,

$$
R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r}\right)=n+r-1 .
$$

More generally we prove that, for $n>n_{1}(r, t)$,

$$
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}^{t+1}\right)=t(n-1)+r .
$$

This implies that, for $n>n_{2}(r)\left(=n_{1}(1, r-1)\right)$,

$$
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right)=(r-1)(n-1)+1
$$

In fact we prove directly that the above holds for $n \geqslant r^{2}-2$. Finally we show that, for arbitrary $r$ and $n$,

$$
n r^{2} \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right) .
$$

## 2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let $G\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t}\right)$ denote the complete graph of order $\sum_{i=1}^{t} r_{i}$ with edge partition $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ such that $E_{2} \cong K\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t}\right)$.

Lemma 1. $\quad R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r-1}\right)>2 n-2$.
Proof. $G(n-1, n-1)$ contains no $C_{n}$ in $E_{1}$ and no $C_{2 r-1}$ in $E_{2}$.

Lemma 2. $\quad R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}^{t+1}\right)>t(n-1)+r-1$.
Proof. $G\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{t}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{r-1}\right)$, where $n_{i}=n-1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and $s_{i}=1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1$, contains no $C_{n}$ in $E_{1}$ and no $K_{r}^{t+1}$ in $E_{2}$.

Lemma 3 (Erdös and Gallai [5]). If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ and size at least $\frac{1}{2}((c-1)(n-1)+1)$, then $G$ contains a cycle of length at least $c$.

Lemma 4 (Bondy [1]). If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ and size at least $\frac{1}{4}\left(n^{2}+1\right)$, then $G$ contains cycles of all lengths $l, 3 \leqslant l \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(n+3)$.

Lemma 5 (Erdös and Stone [6]). If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ and size at least $\frac{1}{2} n^{2}(1-1 /(t-1)+\epsilon)$, where $n>n(t, r, \epsilon)$, then $G$ contains a $K_{r}{ }^{t}$.

Lemma 6. Let $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ such that $E_{1}$ contains a $C_{m}$, where $m \geqslant 6$. Then
(i) if $E_{2}$ contains no $K_{r}$ there is a cycle of length $c, m-2 r+3 \leqslant$ $c<m$, in $E_{1}$ (provided $m \geqslant 2 r$ ),
(ii) if $E_{2}$ contains no $C_{r}$ there is a cycle of length $c^{\prime}, m-3 \leqslant c^{\prime}<m$ in $E_{1}$ (provided $m \geqslant r$ ).

Proof. Let $C=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ be a cycle of length $m$ in $G$.
(i) Consider the vertices $x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 r-1}$. Since $E_{2}$ contains no $K_{r}$, some pair ( $x_{i}, x_{j}$ ) of these vertices must be joined by an edge of $E_{1}$. Then $E_{1}$ contains the cycle $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{j}, x_{j+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ of length at least $m-2 r+3$.
(ii) Some $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2}\right),\left(x_{i}, x_{i+3}\right)$ or $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+4}\right)$ must be in $E_{1}$, for otherwise it is easily seen that $E_{2}$ contains a $C_{r}$. It follows that $E_{1}$ contains a $C_{m-3}$, a $C_{m-2}$, or a $C_{m-1}$.

Lemma 7. Let $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $E\left(K_{n}\right)$ such that $E_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $m$, but no $C_{m+1}$. If $E_{2}$ contains no $K_{r}$, then every vertex $x \notin V(C)$ is joined by edges of $E_{1}$ to at most $r-1$ vertices of $C$.

Proof. Let $C=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ and suppose that $x \notin V(C)$ is joined to vertices $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{r}}$ of $C$ (where $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r}$ ). Then $\left(x_{i_{j}-1}, x_{i_{k}-1}\right) \in E_{2}$
for all $j, k, 1 \leqslant j<k \leqslant r$, since otherwise $E_{1}$ would contain the $m+1$-cycle

$$
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i_{j}-1}, x_{i_{k}-1}, x_{i_{k}-2}, \ldots, x_{i_{j}}, x, x_{i_{k}}, x_{i_{k}+1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) .
$$

But this contradicts the hypothesis that $E_{2}$ contain no $K_{r}$.

## 3. Main Results

Theorem 1. $R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r-1}\right)=2 n-1$ if $n>r(2 r-1)$.
Proof. By Lemma 1, $R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r-1}\right) \geqslant 2 n-1$. We prove the reverse inequality. Consider a partition $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ of $E\left(K_{2 n-1}\right)$ and assume that there is neither a $C_{n}$ in $E_{1}$ nor a $C_{2 r-1}$ in $E_{2}$. It follows that, by Lemma 4, $\left|E_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}(2 n-1)^{2}$, and hence that

$$
\left|E_{1}\right| \geqslant\binom{ 2 n-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}(2 n-1)^{2} .
$$

But then, by Lemma 3, $E_{1}$ contains a cycle of length at least $n-1$. By Lemma 6(ii), $E_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$ of length $n-2$ or $n-1$. Let $S=V\left(K_{2 n-1}\right)-V(C)$. Since $|S| \geqslant n$, there are vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}$ in $S$ with the edge $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ in $E_{2}$. Choose further vertices $x_{3}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $S$. Now, by Lemma 7, each $x_{i}$ is joined by edges of $E_{1}$ to at most $2 r-2$ vertices of $C$. It follows that there are at least $n-2-r(2 r-2)$ vertices of $C$ all of which are joined to each $x_{i}$ by edges of $E_{2}$. But $n>r(2 r-1)$ by hypothesis. So $E_{2}$ contains a $K(r, r-1)$ plus an additional edge, and this in turn contains a $C_{2 r-1}$.

Theorem 2. $2 n-1 \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, C_{n}\right)$ if $n>3$.
Proof. Let $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $E\left(K_{2 n-1}\right)$ and suppose, without loss of generality, that $\left|E_{1}\right| \geqslant\left|E_{2}\right|$. Then

$$
\left|E_{1}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\binom{2 n-1}{2}
$$

and so, by Lemma 3, $E_{1}$ contains a cycle of length at least $n$.
We first show that if one of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ contains a $C_{2 r+1}$ then one of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ also contains a $C_{2 r}(r>2)$. For suppose that $\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{2 r}\right)$ is a $C_{2 r+1}$ in $E_{1}$ and that neither $E_{1}$ nor $E_{2}$ contains a $C_{2 r}$. Then, taking indices modulo $2 r+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \in E_{1}, \\
\Rightarrow \quad\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2}\right) \in E_{2}, & 0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 r \\
\Rightarrow & 0 \leqslant 2 r
\end{aligned}
$$

since the $2 r$-cycle $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}, \ldots, x_{2 r}\right) \notin E_{1}$,

$$
\Rightarrow \quad\left(x_{i}, x_{i+4}\right) \in E_{1}, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 r,
$$

since the $2 r$-cycle $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+4}, x_{i+6}, \ldots, x_{i-2}\right) \notin E_{2}$,

$$
\Rightarrow \quad\left(x_{i}, x_{i+3}\right) \in E_{2}, \quad 0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 r,
$$

since the $2 r$-cycle $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+3}, x_{i+4}, \ldots, x_{i-2}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+1}\right) \notin E_{1}$. But then $E_{2}$ contains the $2 r$-cycle

$$
\left(x_{2 r-1}, x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 r-5}, x_{2 r-2}, x_{2 r-4}, \ldots, x_{2}, x_{2 r}, x_{2 r-3}\right)
$$

Now suppose that one of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, say $E_{1}$, contains a $C_{2 r}(2 r>n)$ but that neither $E_{1}$ nor $E_{2}$ contains a $C_{2 r-1}$. (Clearly if this is never the case then, by the above remarks, either $E_{1}$ or $E_{2}$ contains a $C_{n}$ as desired.) Let $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 r}\right)$ be this $C_{2 r}$. Then, taking indices modulo $2 r$,

$$
\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right) \in E_{1}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 r
$$

and so, as before,

$$
\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2}\right) \in E_{2}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 r .
$$

Moreover $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2 k}\right) \in E_{2}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2 r, 1 \leqslant k \leqslant r-1$. For if $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2 k}\right) \in E_{1}$, then

$$
\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i+2 k-2}\right) \in E_{2},
$$

since the $2 r-1$-cycle

$$
\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2 k}, x_{i+2 k+1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+2 k-2}, x_{i+2 k-3}, \ldots, x_{i+1}\right) \notin E_{1},
$$

and also

$$
\left(x_{i+1}, x_{i+2 k+2}\right) \in E_{2}
$$

since the $2 r-1$-cycle

$$
\left(x_{i}, x_{i+2 k}, x_{i+2 k-1}, \ldots, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2 k+2}, x_{i+2 k+3}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right) \notin E_{1} .
$$

But then $E_{2}$ contains the $2 r-1$-cycle

$$
\left(x_{i+1}, x_{i+3}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+2 k-2}, x_{i+2 k-4}, \ldots, x_{i+2 k+2}\right),
$$

a contradiction.
We now have the following situation: the sets

$$
X_{1}=\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{2 r-1}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \quad X_{2}=\left\{x_{2}, x_{4}, \ldots, x_{2 r}\right\}
$$

each span complete subgraphs in $E_{2}$. Every edge from $X_{1}$ to $X_{2}$ is in $E_{1}$, except that all but two edges incident with one vertex may be in $E_{2}$. If $n$ is even then, since $E_{1}$ contains a $K(r-1, r)$ with $2 r>n$, a fortiori $E_{1}$
contains a $C_{n}$; so assume that $n$ is odd. Now it is clear that no vertex in $V\left(K_{2 n-1}\right)-X_{1}-X_{2}$ can be joined to both a vertex of $X_{1}$ and a vertex of $X_{2}$ by edges of $E_{1}$, for then $E_{1}$ would contain a $C_{n}$. It follows that every vertex of $V\left(K_{2 n-1}\right)-X_{1}-X_{2}$ must be joined by edges of $E_{2}$ to all of $X_{1}$ or to all of $X_{2}$. Since there are $2(n-r)-1$ vertices in $V(G)-X_{1}-X_{2}$, at least $n-r$ of these vertices must be joined by edges of $E_{2}$ to every vertex of either $X_{1}$ or $X_{2}$, say $X_{1}$. But then $E_{2}$ contains a $C_{n}$, and the theorem is proved.

Together with Lemma 1 this implies the
Corollary. $\quad R\left(C_{n}, C_{n}\right)=2 n-1$, if $n$ is odd.
Theorem 3. $R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}^{t+1}\right)=t(n-1)+r$, if $n>n_{1}(r, t)$.
Proof. By induction on $t$. We first prove that, for $n>n_{1}(r, 1)$,

$$
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}^{2}\right)=n+r-1 .
$$

The method is similar to that of Theorem 1. By Lemma 2 it suffices to show that $R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}^{2}\right) \leqslant n+r-1$. Let $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $E\left(K_{n+r-1}\right)$, and assume that there is no $C_{n}$ in $E_{1}$ and no $K_{r}{ }^{2}$ in $E_{2}$. By Lemma 5, $\left|E_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \epsilon(n+r-1)^{2}$, for $n>n(2, r, \epsilon)$, and hence $\left|E_{1}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} c n^{2}$, for some positive constant $c$ and all $n>n(2, r, \epsilon)$. It follows from Lemma 3 that there is a cycle of length at least $c n$ in $E_{1}$ and hence, by Lemma 6, a cycle $C$ of length less than $n$ but at least $c^{\prime} n$, for some positive constant $c^{\prime}$. Since there is no $K_{r}^{2}$ in $E_{2}$ there is no $K_{2 r}$ in $E_{2}$, and, applying Lemma 7 , we find, when $c^{\prime} n \geqslant 2 r^{2}, r$ vertices of $V\left(K_{n+r-1}\right)-V(C)$ joined by edges of $E_{2}$ to $r$ vertices of $C$. Hence, putting

$$
n_{1}(r, t)=\max \left(\frac{2 r^{2}}{c^{\prime}}, n(2, r, \epsilon)\right)
$$

we obtain the desired contradiction.
Suppose the theorem is true for $t-1$, and let ( $E_{1}, E_{2}$ ) be a partition of $E\left(K_{t(n-1)+r}\right)$. By the same argument, if there is no $K_{r}^{t+1}$ in $E_{2}$, then there is a cycle of length less than $n$ but greater than $c_{1} n$ in $E_{1}$. By the induction hypothesis, there is a $K_{r}{ }^{t}$ in $E_{2}$, disjoint from this cycle. Applying Lemma 7, if $c_{1} n \geqslant \operatorname{tr}((t+1) r-1)+r$, we find a $K_{r}^{t+1}$ in $E_{2}$.

Theorem 3 can be strengthened to

$$
R\left(C_{n}, K\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{t+1}\right)\right)=t(n-1)+r, \quad \text { if } \quad n>n_{1}^{\prime}(r, t),
$$

where $r_{i}=r, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and $r_{t+1}=\epsilon(r, t) n$. We omit details.
It is worth noting that Theorem 3 does not hold for all $r \leqslant n$, even in the case $t=1$. For $R\left(C_{n}, K_{n}{ }^{2}\right)>3(n-1)$ as is seen by the graph $G(n-1, n-1, n-1)$.

Using more care in the proof of Theorem 3 we obtain the
Corollary. $\quad R\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r}\right)=n+r-1$, if $n>4 r^{2}-r+2$.
Proof. By Lemma 4, we can assume that $\left|E_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}(n+r-1)^{2}$ and hence that

$$
\left|E_{1}\right| \geqslant\binom{ n+r-1}{2}-\frac{1}{4}(n+r-1)^{2} .
$$

It follows that, applying Lemma 3, there is a cycle of length at least $\frac{1}{2}(n+r-3)$ in $E_{1}$ and therefore, by Lemma 6(ii), a cycle of length less than $n$ and at least $\frac{1}{2}(n+r-3)$ in $E_{1}$. By Lemma 7, if $\frac{1}{2}(n+r-3) \geqslant$ $r(2 r-1)+r$, that is, if $n>4 r^{2}-r+2$, there is a $K_{r}^{2}$ in $E_{2}$ and hence, a fortiori, a $C_{2 r}$ in $E_{2}$.

It has been observed by Gyárfás that $n+r-1 \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r}\right)$ does not hold for all $2 r<n$ when $n$ is odd. In fact we see from $G(2 r-1,2 r-1)$ that

$$
4 r-2 \nrightarrow\left(C_{n}, C_{2 r}\right), \quad \text { if } n \text { is odd. }
$$

Note that, by Theorem 3,

$$
R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right)=R\left(C_{n}, K_{1}^{r}\right)=(r-1)(n-1)+1
$$

if $n$ is large enough. We now strengthen this.
Theorem 4. $\quad R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right)=(r-1)(n-1)+1$ if $n \geqslant r^{2}-2$.
Proof. By induction on $r$. Trivially $R\left(C_{n}, K_{2}\right)=n$. Suppose the theorem is true for $r-1$ and let $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $E\left(K_{N}\right)$, where $N=(r-1)(n-1)+1$ and $n \geqslant r^{2}-2$, such that there is neither a $C_{n}$ in $E_{1}$ nor a $K_{r}$ in $E_{2}$. Then, by Turán's theorem [7],

$$
\left|E_{2}\right| \leqslant \frac{N^{2}(r-2)}{2(r-1)}
$$

and hence

$$
\left|E_{1}\right| \geqslant\binom{ N}{2}-\frac{N^{2}(r-2)}{2(r-1)}=\frac{N((r-1)(n-2)+1)}{2(r-1)}
$$

By Lemma 3, there is a cycle of length at least $n-1$ in $E_{1}$. Since $E_{1}$ contains no $C_{n}$, by Lemma 6 (i) there is a cycle $C$ of length $c$, $n-2 r+4 \leqslant c<n$, in $E_{1}$. Choose $c$ so that it is as large as possible, subject to these bounds. Then $c \geqslant n-2 r+4>(r-1)^{2}$. Since $c \leqslant n-1,\left|V\left(K_{N}-C\right)\right| \geqslant(r-2)(n-1)+1$ and so, by the induction hypothesis, $E_{2}-C$ contains a $K_{r-1}$, with vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}$. Clearly, because $E_{2}$ contains no $K_{r}$, each vertex of $C$ must be joined by
an edge of $E_{1}$ to at least one $x_{i}$. It follows that some $x_{i}$ is joined by edges of $E_{1}$ to at least $r$ vertices of $C$. But, by Lemma 7, this is impossible. The theorem follows.

For arbitrary $n$ and $r$ we have the following result:
THEOREM 5. $n r^{2} \rightarrow\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right)$.
Outline of proof. Let $\left(E_{1}, E_{2}\right)$ be a partition of $E\left(K_{n r^{2}}\right)$ and assume that $E_{1}$ contains no $C_{n}$ and that $E_{2}$ contains no $K_{r}$. Let $K$ be the largest complete subgraph in $E_{2}$, of order $p<r$. Then each vertex not in $K$ must be joined by an edge of $E_{1}$ to at least one vertex of $K$. It follows that some vertex $x$ of $K$ is joined to a large set $S$ (with $|S|=r n$ ) of vertices by edges of $E_{1}$. In the subgraph spanned by $S, E_{2}$ contains no $K_{r}$ and so, by Turán's theorem [7], $\left|E_{1}\right|>\frac{1}{2} r n(n-1)$. By Lemma 3, $E_{1}$ contains a path of length $n-2$ in the subgraph spanned by $S$. This path, together with the edges from its end-vertices to $x \in V(K)$ gives us a $C_{n}$ in $E_{1}$.

## 4. Comments

We have not been able to evaluate $R\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right)$ for $k>2$ even in the case of cycles. It is easy to see that, when $G_{i} \cong C_{n}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, and $n$ is odd,

$$
R\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right) \geqslant 2^{k-1}(n-1)+1
$$

On the other hand we can show that, in this case,

$$
R\left(G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}\right) \leqslant(k+2)!n
$$

Also of interest would be to find $R\left(C_{n}, C_{r}\right), R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}\right)$, and $R\left(C_{n}, K_{r}{ }^{2}\right)$ for all values of $n$ and $r$. Since, by [4], $R\left(C_{4}, K_{4}\right)=10$ it is possible that

$$
R\left(C_{n}, K_{4}\right)=3 n-2, \quad \text { for all } n>3
$$

And $R\left(C_{6}, C_{6}\right)=8$ leads to the conjecture that

$$
R\left(C_{2 n}, C_{2 n}\right)=3 n-1, \quad \text { for all } n>2
$$

Note added in proof. There has been considerable development in the theory of Ramsey numbers since the writing of this paper. R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp [8] and, independently, V. Rosta [9], have shown that, except for $R\left(C_{3}, C_{3}\right)$ and $R\left(C_{4}, C_{4}\right)$,

$$
R\left(C_{m}, C_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 n-1, \text { for } 3 \leqslant m \leqslant n, m \text { odd } \\
n+(m / 2)-1,4 \leqslant m \leqslant n, m, n \text { even } \\
\max \{n+(m / 2)-1,2 n-1\}, 4 \leqslant m<n, m \text { even, } n \text { odd. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Faudree and Schelp have also shown that

$$
R\left(P_{m}, P_{n}\right)=n+[(m+1) / 2] \text { for } 1 \leqslant m \leqslant n,
$$

and that

$$
R\left(C_{m}, P_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2 n+1,3 \leqslant m \leqslant n, m \text { odd, } \\
n+(m / 2), 4 \leqslant m \leqslant n, m \text { even, } \\
m+[(n+1) / 2]-1,1 \leqslant n<m, m \text { even } \geqslant 4, \\
\max \{m+[n+1 / 2]-1,2 n+1\}, 1 \leqslant n<m, m \text { odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $P_{n}$ is a path of length $n$. T. D. Parsons [10] has evaluated $R\left(C_{4}, P_{n}\right)$ and $R\left(K_{m}\right.$, $P_{n}$ ). ([8] R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp, All Ramsey numbers for cycles in graphs, submitted to Discrete Mathematics. [9] V. Rosta, submitted to J. Combinatorial Theory. [10] T. D. Parsons, personal communication.)
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