If $b$ is the term with no prime factor exceeding 3 , there are also six possibilities.
7. $2^{2} \cdot 3 \mid b \Rightarrow c=p^{x}, d=2 q^{y} \Rightarrow(c, d)$ satisfies $[p, q, 2]$.
8. $b=2 \cdot 3^{y} \Rightarrow a=p^{x} \Rightarrow(a, b)$ satisfies $[p, 3,2]$.
9. $b=2^{x}, 3 \nmid a \Rightarrow a=q^{y} \Rightarrow(b, a)$ satisfies $[2, q, 1]$.
10. $b=2^{x}, 3 \mid a \Rightarrow c=q^{y} \Rightarrow(b, c)$ satisfies $[2, q, 1]$.
11. $b=3^{x}, 2^{1} \| a \Rightarrow a=2 q^{y} \Rightarrow(b a)$ satisfies $[3, q, 2]$.
12. $b=3^{x}, 2^{2} \mid a \Rightarrow c=2 q^{y} \Rightarrow(b, c)$ satisfies [3, $\left.q, 2\right]$.

By section 4, every possibility requires $S$ to include a term with a prime factor exceeding 11, which is forbidden. Thus $S$ does not exist.

The authors are indebted to Professor R. K. Guy for several expository improvements.
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## AN EXTREMAL PROBLEM OF GRAPHS WITH DIAMETER 2

## BÉLA BOLLOBÁS and PAUL ERDÖS, University of Cambridge, England

Let $1 \leqq k<p$. We say that a graph has property $P(p, k)$ if it has $p$ points and every two of its points are joined by at least $k$ paths of length $\leqq 2$. The aim of this note is to discuss the following problem. At least how many edges are in a graph with property $P(p, k)$ ? Denote this minimum by $m(p, k)$.

Construct a graph $G_{0}(p, k)$ with property $P(p, k)$ as follows. Take two classes of points, $k$ in the first class and $p-k$ in the second, and take all the edges incident with at least one point in the first class. Thus $G_{0}(p, k)$ has $\binom{p}{2}-\binom{p-k}{2}$ edges.

Murty [2] proved that if $p \geqq \frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5}) k$ then $m(p, k)=\binom{p}{2}-\binom{p-k}{2}$ and $G_{0}(p, k)$ is the only graph with property $P(p, k)$ that has $m(p, k)$ edges. He also suspected that the same result holds already for $p>2 k$. We shall show that this is not so, in fact $p \geqq \frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5}) k$ is almost necessary for $G_{0}(p, k)$ to be an extremal graph, and we determine the asymptotic value of $m$ ( $[c k], k$ ) for every constant $1<c<\frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5})$, where $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$.

Theorem. Let $1<c<\frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5}), p=[c k]$. Then $m(p, k)=c^{3 / 2} k^{2} / 2+o\left(k^{2}\right)$.
Proof. Exactly as in [2] (or by a simple counting argument) one can show that

$$
m(p, k) \geqq c^{3 / 2} k^{2} / 2+O(k)
$$

Therefore the problem is to prove an upper bound for $m(p, k)$, i.e., to construct graphs with property $P(p, k)$ that have few edges.

Let $\varepsilon>0$. Take $p=[c k]$ points and choose each edge with probability $d=c^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\varepsilon$. The law of large numbers implies that, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, with probability tending to 1 , this graph $G_{1}(p, k)$ has $\binom{p}{2}(d+o(1))$ edges. Also, by another simple application of the law of large numbers, we obtain that with probability tending to 1 for every two of the points there are $\left(d^{2}+o(1)\right) p$ points joined to both of them. Thus as $p \rightarrow \infty$ with probability tending to 1 this graph $G_{1}(p, k)$ has property $P(p, k)$ and it has $\leqq\left(d^{2}+\varepsilon\right)\binom{p}{2}$ edges, proving the required inequality.

If the reader is not familiar with the probabilistic terminology or does not like it, we suggest the following combinatorial translation.

Consider all graphs on a set $V$ of $p$ labelled points having $\binom{p}{2} d=q$ edges. The number of these graphs is $\binom{Q}{q}$, where $Q=\binom{p}{2}$. Let $a, b$ be two arbitrary points and let $x<k$ be an integer. Let us compute the number of graphs in which there are exactly $x$ points joined to both $a$ and $b$. If there are $x$ points joined to both $a$ and $b$, there are $y$ points in $V-\{a, b\}$ joined to $a$ and there are $z$ points in $V-\{a, b\}$ joined to $b$; then the edges incident with exactly one of the points $a, b$ can be chosen in

$$
\binom{p-2}{x}\binom{p-2-x}{y}\binom{p-2-x-y}{z}
$$

different ways. The remaining edges of the graph can be chosen in $\binom{Q^{\prime}}{q-e}$ ways, where $Q^{\prime}=\binom{p-2}{2}+1$ and $e=2 x+y+z$. Consequently the number of graphs in question is

$$
\sum_{x+y+z \leq p-2}\binom{p-2}{x}\binom{p-2-x}{y}\binom{p-2-x-y}{z}\binom{Q^{\prime}}{q-e}
$$

where the summation goes over all pairs of nonnegative integers $(y, z)$ satisfying $x+y+z \leqq p-2$. Thus there are at most

$$
\binom{n}{2} \sum_{x+y+z \leq p-2}\binom{p-2}{x}\binom{p-2-x}{y}\binom{p-2-x-y}{z}\binom{Q^{\prime}}{q-e}
$$

graphs not having property $P(p, k)$. By a simple but laborious estimation one can prove that if $k$ is sufficiently large then this is less than $\binom{Q}{q}$ (in fact the sum
divided by $\binom{Q}{q}$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$ ). This proves that if $k$ is sufficiently large there exists a graph with $q$ edges that has property $P(p, k)$.

Remarks. 1. With a slight improvement of the same method one can prove that if

$$
[c k]=p<\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2} k-k^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log k)^{\alpha}
$$

( $\alpha$ sufficiently large) then $m(p, k)=c^{3 / 2} k^{2} / 2+o\left(k^{2}\right)$ and the graph $G_{0}(p, k)$ is not extremal.

A problem similar to the one discussed here and in [2] was solved in [1]. By the method applied there one could improve the result in [2] slightly. One could show that $G_{0}(p, k)$ is extremal in a larger range than $p \geqq \frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5}) k$, but the method would not bring the lower bound on $p$ down to $\frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5}) k-k^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log k)^{\alpha}$.

It would be of interest to determine as accurately as possible the smallest value $p=p(k)$ for which the graph $G_{0}(p, k)$ is extremal. Furthermore in the range where $G_{0}(p, k)$ is not extremal determine (again as accurately as possible) $m(p, k)$ and characterize the extremal graphs.
2. One can also give a nonprobabilistic proof of the theorem. As before, let

$$
1<c<\frac{1}{2}(3+\sqrt{5}), \quad \varepsilon>0, \quad d=c^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\varepsilon
$$

Furthermore, let $p$ be a natural number and $\alpha=\alpha(p)$ a real number. Denote by $G_{1}(p, \alpha, d)$ the following graph. The points are $\{1,2, \cdots, p\}$, and $i$ is joined to $j$ if

$$
(i-j)^{2} \alpha-\left[(i-j)^{2} \alpha\right]<d
$$

It suffices to show that $\alpha=\alpha(p)$ can be chosen in a such a way that if $p$ is sufficiently large $G_{1}(p, \alpha, d)$ has property $P(p, k)$ and has $\frac{1}{2} d n^{2}+o\left(n^{2}\right)$ edges. It indeed follows from well-known theorems on diophantine approximation that $G_{1}(p, \alpha, d)$ has $\frac{1}{2} d p^{2}+o\left(p^{2}\right)$ edges, provided $\alpha$ is irrational. The graph has property $P(p, k)$ if whenever $1 \leqq i<j \leqq p$, the number of integers $t, 1 \leqq t \leqq p$, for which

$$
(t-i)^{2} \alpha-\left[(t-i)^{2} \alpha\right]<d \quad \text { and } \quad(t-j)^{2} \alpha-\left[(t-j)^{2} \alpha\right]<d
$$

is $d^{2} p+o(p)$ uniformly in $i$ and $j$. (For sufficiently large $p$ clearly $d^{2} p+o(p)>$ $k$.) We could not prove this but Cassels showed that this holds if we choose $\alpha=\alpha(p)=1 / q$, where $q$ is the smallest prime not less than $p$. The proof uses analytic number theory and will not be given here. The same choice of $\alpha$ also ensures that $G_{1}$ has $\frac{1}{2} d p^{2}+o\left(p^{2}\right)$ edges. This result completes the proof of the theorem.

It would still be of interest to prove the result for every irrational $\alpha$.
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