ON THE STRUCTURE OF EDGE GRAPHS II

B. BOLLOBÁS, P. ERDŐS AND M. SIMONOVITS

This note is a sequel to [1]. First let us recall some of the notations. Denote by G(n, m) a graph with n vertices and m edges. Let $K_d(r_1, ..., r_d)$ be the complete d-partite graph with r_i vertices in its *i*-th class and put $K_d(t) = K_d(t, ..., t)$, $K_d = K_d(1)$.

Given integers $n \ge d (\ge 2)$, let $m_d(n)$ be the minimal integer with the property that every G(n, m), where $m \ge m_d(n)$, contains a K_d . The function $m_d(n)$ was determined by Turán [5]. It is easily seen that

$$m_d(n) = \frac{d-2}{2(d-1)} n^2 + o(n).$$

In this note we are interested in the maximal value of t, depending on the integers n, d ($2 \le d \le n$) and on a positive number c, such that every G(n, m) contains a $K_d(t)$ provided

$$m \ge \left(\frac{d-2}{2(d-1)} + c\right) n^2.$$

We denote this maximal value by g(n, d, c). Naturally, we may and will always suppose that c < 1/(2(d-1)). Erdős and Stone [3] proved the rather surprising fact that if *n* is large enough then $g(n, d, c) \ge (l_{d-1}(n))^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where l_s denotes the *s* times iterated logarithm. However, this estimate turns out to be rather far from best possible. For fixed *d* and c $(c \le 1/(2(d-1)))$ the correct order of g(n, d, c) was determined by Bollobás and Erdős [1], who proved that there are constants $c_2 > c_1 > 0$ such that

$$c_1 \log n \leq g(n, d, c) \leq c_2 \log n.$$

More precisely, they showed that there are positive constants γ_d , γ_d^* , depending on d, such that

$$\gamma_d c \log n \leqslant g(n, d, c) \leqslant \gamma_d^* \frac{\log n}{-\log c}.$$
(1)

The main aim of the paper is to show that, for a fixed value of d, the upper bound in (1) gives the correct order of g(n, d, c) for all c < 1/(2(d-1)) and sufficiently large values of n.

Denote by [x] the integer part of x.

THEOREM. (a) There is an absolute constant $\alpha > 0$ such that if 0 < c < 1/d and

$$m > \left(1 - \frac{1}{d} + c\right) \frac{n^2}{2},$$

Received 22 August, 1973; revised 1 September, 1974.

[[]J. LONDON MATH. SOC. (2), 12 (1976), 219-224]

then every G(n, m) contains a $K_{d+1}(t)$, where

$$t = \left[\frac{\alpha \log n}{d \log (1/c)}\right].$$
 (2)

(b) Given an integer $d \ge 1$ there exists a constant $\varepsilon_d > 0$ such that if $0 < c < \varepsilon_d$ and $n \ge n(d, c)$ is an integer then there exists a graph G(n, m) satisfying (2) which does not contain a $K_{d+1}(t)$ with

$$t = \left[5\frac{\log n}{\log\left(1/c\right)}\right].$$

Remarks. 1. The ratio of the upper and lower bounds of g(n, d+1, c) given by the theorem does not depend on c. However, it does depend on d. We conjecture that the upper bound gives the correct order, i.e. $[-\log n/4d \log c]$ can be replaced by $[\gamma \log n/\log c]$, where γ (< 0) is an absolute constant.

2. The following result can be proved analogously to the theorem.

There exist constants $\delta = \delta(d) > 0$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(d) > 0$ such that if (2) holds and n > n(d, c) then every G(n, m) contains a $K_{d+1}(a, ..., a, b)$ for every $a < \varepsilon \log n$ and $b \leq n 2^{-\delta \alpha}$. This is sharp in the sense that it fails if δ is sufficiently small.

3. Our final remark concerns r-graphs for r > 2. Denote by $G^{r}(n, m)$ an r-graph with n vertices and m r-tuples. Let $K_{p}^{r}(t)$ be the complete p-partite r-graph whose classes consist of t vertices. (An r-tuple is in this graph if and only if its elements belong to different classes.) Put $K_{p}^{r} = K_{p}^{r}(1)$.

The following problem was posed by Turán about thirty years ago. Given an integer p > r, determine the minimal positive number $c_{r,p}$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large *n* every graph $G^{r}(n, m)$ contains a K_{p}^{r} provided

$$m \ge (c_{r,p}+\varepsilon)\binom{n}{r}.$$

None of these values $c_{r, p}$ is known and the problem seems to be very difficult. However, it is possible that without actually determining $c_{r, p}$ one can prove a result analogous to the theorem.

Conjecture. Let 2 < r < p and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that if

$$m \ge (c_{r,p}) + \varepsilon \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ r \end{array} \right)$$

and n is sufficiently large then every G'(n, m) contains a $K_p'(t)$ where

$$t = [(\gamma \log n)^{1/(r-1)}].$$

It can be deduced from the results in [2] that this assertion holds with

$$t = [(\gamma \log n)^{1/(p-1)}].$$

220

Proof of the Theorem. As (b) can be proved as Theorem 2 in [1], we prove only (a). The cardinality of a set X is denoted by |X|. In the proof we shall make use of the following relations that follow from Stirling's formula:

$$\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^{k}}{k!} \approx \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi k)}} < \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^{k} . \tag{3}$$

To simplify the calculations we shall not choose $\alpha > 0$ immediately but we shall show that if $\alpha > 0$ is a sufficiently small absolute constant then the result holds.

Let G = G(n, m) be a graph satisfying (2). As in the proof of Theorem 1 of [1], it is easily seen that G contains a subgraph H with $n' \ge (dc/4)^{\frac{1}{2}} n$ vertices whose every vertex has degree at least (1-1/d+c/2)n' in H. So with a slight change of notation it suffices to prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION. If $0 < \beta < 1$ is a sufficiently small absolute constant and every vertex of a graph G with n vertices has degree at least

$$(1 - 1/d + c)n$$
 $(0 < c < 1/d)$ (4)

then G contains a $K_{d+1}(M)$ where

$$M = \left[\beta \frac{\log n}{d \log (1/c)}\right].$$

Proof of the proposition. The proposition is obvious if M < 1; so we can assume without loss of generality that $M \ge 1$, i.e.

$$n \ge (1/c)^{d/\beta}.\tag{5}$$

To prove the result we use induction on d. For d = 1 a stronger result is proved in [1] (and it also follows from [4]). Suppose now that $d \ge 2$ and the proposition is already proved for smaller values of d.

Put

$$c' = \frac{1}{d-1} - \frac{1}{d} + c$$
 and $p_0 = \left[\beta \frac{\log n}{(c-1)\log(1/c')}\right].$

As the minimal degree in H is greater than (1-1/(d-1)+c')n, by the induction hypothesis G contains a $K_d(p_0)$.

In the sequel we shall make use of the following simple lemma.

LEMMA. Let X be a set of vertices of G. Put x = |X|/d. Denote by Y the set of those vertices of G - X that are joined to at least (-1/d + c/2) dx vertices of X. Then

$$d(cn-2x) \le 2|Y|. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Denote by S the number of edges connecting G - X to X. S clearly satisfies the following inequalities:

$$dx\{(1-1/d+c)n-dx\} \leq |S| \leq |Y| \, dx + (n-dx-|Y|)(1-1/d+c/2) \, dx.$$

Consequently

$$\frac{1}{2}c \, dxn - dx^2 + \frac{1}{2}c \, d^2 \, x^2 \leq |Y| \, (1/d - \frac{1}{2}c) dx,$$

and this implies (6).

Let us go on with the proof of the proposition. Put P = (2/c) M.

(a) Let us assume that G contains a $K = K_d(p_1, ..., p_d)$ such that

$$p_i \leqslant p + M, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d, \tag{7}$$

where

$$p=\frac{1}{d}\sum_{i=1}^{d}p_{i},$$

and

$$P \leqslant p \leqslant P+1. \tag{8}$$

If β is sufficiently small then

$$cn > 4(P+1), \tag{9}$$

so by the lemma we can suppose that if Z is the set of vertices of G-K that are joined to at least p(d-1)+cpd/2 vertices of K, then $|Z| \ge dcn/4$. A vertex of Z is joined to at least

$$p(d-1) + (cpd/2) - (d-1)(p+M) \ge (cPd/2) - (d-1)M = M$$

vertices of each class of K, so it is joined to a subgraph $K_{\alpha}(M)$ of K. By (3) the number of $K_{d}(M)$ subgraphs of K is at most

$$\left(\frac{P+1+M}{M}\right)^{d} < \left(\frac{2P}{M}\right)^{d} < \left(\frac{4e}{c}\right)^{Md}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{\beta(\log n/\log(1/c))} (4e)^{\beta(\log n/\log(1/c))} < n^{\beta} n^{2\beta} = n^{3\beta}.$$
(10)

If β is sufficiently small then

$$n^{3\beta} < \frac{cn}{\beta \log n} < \frac{cnd^2 \log (1/c)}{4\beta \log n} = \frac{dcn}{4M} \leqslant \frac{|\mathbf{Z}|}{M}.$$

Thus Z contains a set Z' of M vertices and K contains a $K_d(M)$ subgraph K' such that every vertex of Z' is joined to every vertex of K'. Consequently G contains a $K_{d+1}(M)$.

(b) By (a) we can assume without loss of generality that whenever a subgraph $K_d(p_1, ..., p_d)$ of G satisfies (7) then $p = (1/d) \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i < P$.

Let $K = K_d(p_1, ..., p_d)$ be a subgraph for which p attains its maximum under the conditions (7). As G contains a $K_d(p_0)$, $M < p_0 \leq p < P$. Let U be the set of those vertices that are joined to at least M vertices of each class of K. If U is large, say $|U| \geq n^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then G contains a $K_{d+1}(M)$, just as in case (a). For by (10) the number of $K_d(M)$ subgraphs of K is at most

$$\binom{p+M}{M}^d < \binom{P+1+M}{M}^d < n^{3\beta} < \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M} \leqslant \frac{|U|}{M},$$

provided β is sufficiently small.

222

Thus we can suppose that $|U| \le n^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Let W be the set of vertices of G-K that are joined to at least (1-1/d+c/2) dp vertices of K. Put V = W-U. By the lemma, (9) and (5), for sufficiently small β we have

$$|V| \ge \frac{1}{4}$$
 cnd $-n^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge \frac{1}{8}$ cnd.

Let us define an equivalence relation on V by putting $x \sim y$ (x, $y \in V$) if x and y are joined to exactly the same vertices of K. Let C_i denote the *i*-th class of K. If $x \in V$ there exists an i_0 , $1 \leq i_0 \leq d$, such that x is joined to less than M vertices of C_{i_0} . As x is joined to more than (d-1)p vertices of K, the number of vertices of $\bigcup_{j \neq i_0} C_j$ not joined to x is less than

$$(d-1)(p+m) - \{(d-1)p - M\} = dM.$$

Hence the number of equivalence classes in V is less than

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda \leq M} \binom{p_i}{\lambda} \right\} \left\{ \sum_{\mu \leq dM} \binom{pd-p_i}{\mu} \right\} \\ &\leq d^2 M^2 \binom{2p}{M} \binom{pd}{dM} \leq d^2 M^2 \left(\frac{2p}{M}\right)^M \left(\frac{p}{M}\right)^{dM} e M^{(d+1)} \\ &\leq d^2 M^2 (2e)^{(d+1)M} \left(\frac{1}{c}\right)^{dM} < \beta^2 (\log n)^2 n^{4\beta/\log(1/c)} n^{\beta}. \end{split}$$

Thus (5) implies that if β is sufficiently small, the number of equivalence classes is less than cnd/(8p+8M), so there exists a set V_1 of [p+M] equivalent vertices.

We shall show that there is a $K' = K_d(q_1, ..., q_d)$ subgraph in G that contradicts the maximality of $K = K_d(p_1, ..., p_d)$. Let $x \in V_1$ and let \overline{C}_i denote the set of those vertices of C_i which are joined to x. We may suppose without loss of generality that x is joined to less than M vertices of C_1 , i.e. $|\overline{C}_1| \leq M$. Assume furthermore that $|\overline{C}_2| \leq |\overline{C}_j|, j = 3, ..., d$. We shall give different constructions for K' according as $|\overline{C}_2| \leq p \text{ or } |\overline{C}_2| > p$.

If $|\overline{C}_2| \leq p$ let the classes C_i^* of a $K_d(q_1, ..., q_d)$ be defined as follows:

$$C_1^* = V_1, \quad C_2^* = C_1 \cup C_2 \quad \text{and} \quad C_j^* = \overline{C}_j, \quad j = 3, ..., n.$$

Since

1

$$\left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} \overline{C}_{i} \right| > (d-1) p, \quad \left| \bigcup_{i=1}^{d} C_{i}^{*} \right| > dp.$$

Furthermore, $|C_i| \leq p+M$. Thus this subgraph $K_d(q_1, ..., q_d)$ satisfies (7) and contradicts the maximality of K.

If $|C_2| > p$, select q = [p+1] vertices from each \overline{C}_j , j = 2, ..., d and from V_1 . These vertices determine a $K_d(q)$ in G, contradicting the maximality of K.

This completes the proof of the proposition and so the proof of the theorem is also complete.

References

- B. Bollobás and P. Erdős, "On the structure of edge graphs", Bull. London Math. Soc., 5 (1973), 317-321.
- 2. P. Erdős, "On some extremal problems on r-graphs", Discrete Math., 1 (1971), 1-6.
- and A. H. Stone, "On the structure of linear graphs", Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 52 (1946), 1089-1091.
- 4. T. Kővári, V. T. Sós and P. Turán, "On a problem of Zarankiewicz", Coll. Math., 3 (1954), 50-57.
- 5. P. Turán, "On an extremal problem in graph theory" (in Hungarian), Mat. és Fiz. Lapok, 48 (1941), 436-452.

University of Cambridge, Cambridge, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, and R. Eőtvős University, Budapest.