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Given a set $X$ and a natural number $r$ denote by $X^{(r)}$ the set of $r$ element subsets of $X$. An $r$-graph or hypergraph $G$ is a pair ( $V, T$ ), where $V$ is a finite set and $T \subset V^{(r)}$. We call $v \in V$ a vertex of $G$ and $\tau \in T$ an $r$-tuple or an edge of $G$. Thus a 1 -graph is a set $V$ and a subset $T$ of $V$. As the structure of 1-graphs is trivial, throughout the note we suppose $r \geqslant 2$. A 2 -graph is a graph in the sense of (5). The degree $\operatorname{deg} v$ of a vertex $v \in V$ is the number of $r$-tuples containing $v$. A set of pairwise disjoint $r$-tuples is said to be independent. We say $G^{\prime}=$ ( $V^{\prime}, T^{\prime}$ ) is a subgraph of $G=(V, T)$ and write $G^{\prime} \subset G$ if $V^{\prime} \subset V$ and $T^{\prime} \subset T$. If $G=(V, T)$ and $v \in V$ then $G-v=\left(V^{\prime}, T^{\prime}\right)$, where $V^{\prime}=$ $V-\{v\}$ and $T^{\prime}=\{\tau \in T: v \notin \tau\}$. If $X, Y$ are sets $|X|$ denotes the cardinality of $X$ and $X-Y$ is the set theoretic difference of $X$ and $Y$. An $r$-graph with $p$ vertices and all $\binom{p}{r}$ possible $r$-tuples is denoted by $K_{p}$. Thus $K_{p}$ is the complete graph with $p$ vertices. Also $\bar{K}_{p}$ is the graph with $p$ vertices and no $r$-tuples.

Let $E_{r}(n, k)(0 \leqslant k \leqslant n)$ be an $r$-graph $(V, T)$, where $|V|=n$ and $T=\left\{\tau \in V^{(r)}: \tau \cap W \neq \phi\right\}$ for some $k$-element subset $W$ of $V$. (Thus adapting the notation of (5) to $r$-graphs, $E_{r}(n, k)=K_{k}+\bar{K}_{n-k}$.) Put

$$
e_{r}(n, k)=|T|=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-k}{r} .
$$

The graph $E_{r}(n, k)$ clearly does not contain $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples and it is maximal with this property if $n \geqslant(k+1) r$. Let us define another maximal $r$-graph with at most $k$ independent $r$-tuples, $F_{r}(n, k)=$ ( $V_{1}, T_{1}$ ). Let $\left|V_{1}\right|=n>k+r$, let $W_{1}$ and $R$ be disjoint subsets of $V_{1},\left|W_{1}\right|=k-1,|R|=r$, and let $v \in V_{1}-W_{1}-R$. Then the set of $r$-tuples of $F_{r}(n, k)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=\left\{\tau \in V_{1}^{(r)}: \tau \cap W_{1} \neq \phi\right\} \cup\left\{\tau \in V_{1}^{(r)}: v \in \tau \text { and } \tau \cap R \neq\right. \\
&\phi\} \cup\{R\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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If $n \geqslant(k+1)$ then $F_{r}(n, k)$ is a maximal $r$-graph without $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples. Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{r}(n, k) & =\left|T_{1}\right|=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-k}{r}-\binom{n-k-r}{r-1}+1 \\
& =e_{r}(n, k)-\binom{n-k-r}{r-1}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

It was proved by Erdös and Gallai [(3) theorem 4.1] that if a 2-graph $G$ on $n[>(5 k+3) / 2]$ vertices has at least $e_{2}(n, k)$ edges and does not contain $k+1$ independent edges then $G$ is exactly $E_{2}(n, k)$. This result was extended to $r$-graphs by Erdös (2) in the following form.

Given $r \geqslant 2$ there exists a constant $c_{r}$ such that every $r$-graph with $n>c_{r} k$ vertices and $e_{r}(n, k)+1$ or more $r$-tuples contains $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples. The proof of this result is based on the corresponding theorem for $k=1$ and arbitrary $r$, proved by Erdös, Ko and Rado (4). It is conjectured in (2) that if an $r$-graph with $n \geqslant(k+1) r$ vertices contains more than

$$
\left.\max \left[(\underset{r}{(k+1) r-1}), e_{r}(n, k)\right)\right]
$$

$r$-tuples then it contains $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples. This conjecture is still open for all $r \leqslant 3$.

Sharpening the result of Erdös, Ko and Rado (4) it was proved by Hilton and Milner (7) that if an $r$-graph without 2 independent $r$-tuples has $n \geqslant 2 r$ vertices and $f_{r}(n, 1)+1$ or more $r$-tuples then it is a subgraph of $E_{r}(n, 1)$.

In this note we sharpen the result of Erdös (2) (and put it in a more explicit form) by extending the result of Hilton and Milner (7) for every $k \geqslant 1$ (Theorem 1), provided $n>2 r^{3} k$. Naturally the graph $F_{r}(n, k)$ shows that fewer $r$-tuples do not imply the assertion. An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is an extension of a result of Hilton (6) concerning sets of independent $r$-tuples (Corollary 1).

The main aim of this note is to give another condition on an $r$-graph $G$ that ensures $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples unless $G \subset E_{r}(n, k)$. Instead of requring a sufficient number of $r$-tuples, we require that the degree of each vertex be sufficiently large (Theorem 2).

The minimal degree in $E_{r}(n, k)$ is

$$
\binom{n-1}{r-1}-\binom{n-k-1}{r-1}=e_{r-1}(n-1, k)
$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that if in an $r$-graph $G$ on $n\left[>2 r^{3}(k+2)\right]$
vertices the degree of every vertex is greater than the above then $G$ contains $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples. The graph $E_{r}(n, k)$ shows that this condition on the degrees can not be weakened if we want to ensure the existence of $k+1$ independent $r$-tuples.

It is interesting to note that the graph $E_{r}(n, k)$ is also the unique solution of the following extremal problem. An $r$-graph $H$ is said to be $(r+k)$-saturated if $H$ is a maximal $r$-graph which does not contain a $K_{r+k}$. Then among $(r+k)$-saturated $r$-graphs on $n(\geqslant r+k)$ vertices $E_{r}(n, k)$ is the unique graph with the minimal number of $r$-tuples. This was proved by Bollobás in (1) using the method of weights.

In the proofs of our theorems, we shall make use of the following simple inequalities.

$$
\begin{align*}
& l\binom{m-1}{s-1} \geqslant\binom{ m}{s}-\binom{m-l}{s} \geqslant l\binom{m-l}{s-1} \\
& \quad \text { where } 1 \leqslant s \leqslant m-l \leqslant m  \tag{1}\\
& \binom{m-l}{s} /\left(\frac{m}{s}\right) \geqslant\left(1-\frac{l}{m-s}\right)^{s} \geqslant 1-\frac{s l}{m-s}, \\
& \text { where } 0 \leqslant \delta<m-l \leqslant m \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

[The second inequality of (2) follows from $(1-x)^{s} \geqslant 1-s x$ if $0 \leqslant x<$ 1.]

We shall also make use of the following simple lemma whose proof we omit [cf. the proof in (2)].

Lemma 1. Let $G=(V, T)$ be an r-graph on $n$ vertices containing at most $p \geqslant 1$ independent $r$-tuples.
(a) If $u \in V$ and $G-u$ contains $p$ independent $r$-tuples then

$$
\operatorname{deg} u \leqslant\binom{ n-1}{r-1}-\binom{n-1-r p}{r-1} \leqslant r p\binom{n-2}{r-2} .
$$

(b) There is a vertex $v$ in $G$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} v \geqslant \frac{|T|}{r p}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $G=(V, T)$ be an r-graph with

$$
r \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 1,|V|=n>2 r^{3} k \text { and }|T|>f_{r}(n, k)
$$

Suppose $G$ contains at most $k$ independent $r$-tuples. Then $G \subset E_{r}(n, k)$; in other words there exists $W \subset V$ with $|W|=k$ such that every $r$-tuple of $G$ intersects $W$.

Proof. For $k=1$ this was proved by Hilton and Milner (7), so suppose $k>1$ and that the result holds for smaller values of $k$.

Suppose first that there is a vertex $u \in V$ such that $G-u$ has at most $k-1$ independent $r$-tuples. As

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T|-\operatorname{deg} u>f_{r}(n, k)-\operatorname{deg} u \geqslant f_{r}(n, k)-\binom{n-1}{r-1} & = \\
& f_{r}(n-1, k-1),
\end{aligned}
$$

the induction hypothesis implies that $G-u \subset E_{r}(n-1, k-1)$ and so $G \subset E_{r}(n, k)$.
Suppose now that $G-u$ has $k$ independent $r$-tuples for every vertex $u \in V$.

The two parts of Lemma 1 imply that if $G$ is not a subgraph of $E_{r}(n, k)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|T|}{r k} \leqslant r k\binom{n-2}{r-2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1) we have

$$
|T|>f_{r}(n, k) \geqslant k\binom{n-k}{r-1}-\binom{n-k-r}{r-1}+1>(k+1)\binom{n-k}{r-1},
$$

and it follows from (3) and (2) that

$$
(r k)^{2} \geqslant \frac{(k-1)(n-k)}{r-1}\left\{1-\frac{(r-2)(k-1)}{n-r}\right\} .
$$

Routine calculations show that this contradicts the assumption $2 r^{3} k<n$, and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2. Let $F=(V, T)$ be an $r$-graph with

$$
r \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 2,|V|=n>2 r^{3}(k-1) \text { and }|T| \geqslant f_{r}(n, k-1) .
$$

Suppose every $r$-tuple of $F$ meets a set $W$ having $|W|=k-1$. Let $\tau$ be an $r$-tuple which does not meet $W$. Then the $r$-graph $F \cup \tau$ has $k$ independent $r$-tuples.

Proof. The number of $r$-tuples of $F$ which meet $\tau$ is at most

$$
h=\binom{n}{r}-\binom{n-r}{r}-\binom{n-k+1}{r}+\binom{n-r-k+1}{r} .
$$

The case $k=2$ follows because $h<f_{r}(n, k-1)$, so we assume $k \geqslant 3$. The number $h^{\prime}$ of $r$-tuples of $F-\tau$ satisfies

$$
h^{\prime} \geqslant f_{r}(n, k-1)-h=1+e_{r}(n-r, k-2)>f_{r}(n-r, k-2) .
$$

If $F-\tau$ has $k-1$ independent $r$-tuples then those together with $\tau$ give the desired result. Suppose on the other hand $F-\tau$ has at most $k-2$ independent $r$-tuples. Then by Theorem 1 we know $F-\tau \subset E_{r}(n-r$, $k-2)$ so $h^{\prime} \leqslant e_{r}(n-r, k-2)$. This contradiction completes the proof.

To formulate the next result let us recall a definition of Hilton (6). We say that an $r$-graph $G$ contains a simultaneously independent $k$-sets if there are $s k$ of the $r$-tuples that can be partitioned into $s$ classes, such that each class contains $k$ independent $r$-tuples.

Corollary 1. Let $G=(V, T)$ be an r-graph with

$$
r \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 2,|V|=n>2 r^{3}(k-1)
$$

and

$$
|T| \geqslant f_{r}(n, k-1)+(s+1) k-1
$$

Suppose $G$ has at most s simultaneously independent $k$-sets. Then there are $s$ of the r-tuples of $G$ such that the $r$-graph obtained from $G$ by omitting these $r$-tuples is a subgraph of an $E_{r}(n, k-1)$.

Proof. Let $p$ be the largest integer for which $G$ has $p$ simultaneously independent $k$-sets and let $S$ denote such a family. If $G^{\prime}=\left(V, T^{\prime}\right)$ where $T^{\prime}=T-S$ then by definition of $p$ there are at most $k-1$ independent $r$-tuples in $G^{\prime}$. Since

$$
\left|T^{\prime}\right|=|T|-p k \geqslant f_{r}(n, k-1)+k-1
$$

by Theorem 1 there is a set $W$ with $|W|=k-1$ such that every $r$-tuple of $G^{\prime}$ meets $W$. Now each class of $S$ must contain an $r$-tuple which fails to meet $W$, but suppose some class $C$ contained two such $r$-tuples $\tau$ and $\sigma$. Then Lemma 2 shows that $G^{\prime} \cup \tau$ has $k$ independent $r$-tuples and we will denote them by $C_{1}$. If we omit $C_{1}$ from $G^{\prime} \cup \tau$ and adjoin $\sigma$ we can again apply the lemma to get a second set $C_{2}$ of $k$ independent $r$-tuples. However replacing $C$ in $S$ by $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ contradicts the definition of $p$. Thus we have shown that each class of $S$ contains exactly one $r$-tuple which fails to intersect $W$ and omitting these $r$-tuples from $G$ produces a subgraph of $E_{r}(n, k-1)$.

It is likely that a somewhat more careful proof would show that the same assertion holds if we require only that $|T| \geqslant f_{r}(n, k-1)+s$.

For the next theorem and its corollary notice that

$$
\binom{n-1}{r-1}-\binom{n-k}{r-1}
$$

is the minimum degree in $E_{r}(n, k-1)$.

Theorem 2. Let $G=(V, T)$ be an r-graph with

$$
r \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 1 \text { and }|V|=n>2 r^{3}(k+2)
$$

Suppose $G$ contains at most $k$ independent $r$-tuples. If

$$
\operatorname{deg} v>d=d_{r}(n, k)=\binom{n-1}{r-1}-\binom{n-k}{r-1}+\frac{r^{3}}{n-k+1}\binom{n-k-1}{r-2}
$$

for every $v \in V$ then $G \subset E_{r}(n, k)$.
Proof. We shall prove the theorem by induction on $k$. Suppose first that $k=1$. By Lemma 1b there is a vertex $v$ such that

$$
\operatorname{deg} v>|T| / r>n d / r^{2}=r\binom{n-2}{r-2}
$$

Let $H=G-v$. Then $H$ can not have an $r$-tuple since otherwise Lemma la contradicts the previous inequality. Thus every $r$-tuple of $G$ contains $v$ and so $G \subset E_{r}(n, 1)$.

Suppose now that $k>1$ and the result holds for smaller values of $k$. As in the case $k=1$, Lemma lb implies that there exists a vertex $v$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{deg} v>\frac{|T|}{r k}>\frac{n d}{r^{2} k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $H=G-v$. Then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{H}} u \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{\mathrm{G}} u-\binom{n-2}{r-2}>d_{r}(n, k)-\binom{n-2}{r-2}=d_{r}(n-1, k-1)
$$

for every vertex $u$ of $H$.
If $H$ contains at most $k-1$ independent $r$-tuples, the induction hypothesis implies that there is a set $W$ with $|W|=k-1$ such that every $r$-tuple in $H$ meets $W$. Hence in this case every $r$-tuple of $G$ meets $W \cup\{v\}$ and $|W \cup\{v\}|=k$.

Thus we can assume without loss of generality that $H$ contains $k$ independent $r$-tuples. Then by Lemma la we have

$$
\operatorname{deg} v<r k\binom{n-2}{r-2}
$$

Consequently (4) gives

$$
\frac{r^{3} k^{2}}{n}\binom{n-2}{r-2}>d>\binom{n-1}{r-1}-\binom{n-k}{r-1}
$$

Thus (1) implies

$$
\frac{r^{3} k^{2}}{n}\binom{n-2}{r-2}>(k-1)\binom{n-k}{r-2}
$$

and so by (2)

$$
\frac{1}{2}>\frac{r^{3} k^{2}}{n(k-1)}>\binom{n-k}{r-2} /\binom{n-2}{r-2} \geqslant 1-\frac{(r-2)(k-2)}{n-r}
$$

This contradicts our assumption on $n$, so the theorem is proved.
Notice that the number of $r$-tuples in $G$ guaranteed by the condition on the degrees is less than $f_{r}(n, k)$ so Theorem 2 does not follow directly from Theorem 1.

Corollary 2. Let $G=(V, T)$ be an r-graph with

$$
r \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 2 \text { and }|V|=n>2 r^{3}(k+1)
$$

Suppose that

$$
1<s \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-k}{r-2}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{deg} v>\binom{n-1}{r-1}+\frac{r k(s-1)}{n-k+1}
$$

for every $v \in V$. Then $G$ has s simultaneously independent $k$-sets.
Proof. Let $p$ be the largest integer for which $G$ has $p$ simultaneously independent $k$-sets and let $S$ denote such a family. We assume $p<s$ and obtain a contradiction. If $G^{\prime}=\left(V, T^{\prime}\right)$ where $T^{\prime}=T-S$ then there are at most $k-1$ independent $r$-tuples in $G^{\prime}$, and for every $v \in V$

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}} v \geqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G} v-p>d_{r}(n, k-1)
$$

Hence by Theorem 2 there is a set $W$ with $|W|=k-1$ such that every $r$-tuple of $G^{\prime}$ meets $W$. Clearly

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}} z \geqslant\binom{ n-1}{r-1}-\binom{n-k}{r-1}
$$

for every $z \in V-W$, but there is at least one $z_{0} \in V-W$ for which

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G} z_{0} \leqslant \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\prime}} z_{0}+\frac{r k p}{n-k+1}
$$

contradicting our hypothesis about $\operatorname{deg} v$.
c

It is easily seen that the restrictions on the parameters in Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 can be weakened by proving a more accurate result for $k=2$.

We are grateful to Dr. A. J. W. Hilton for drawing our attention to (6).
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