Vol. 17, 1978

Short communications

and it has been conjectured that n may be replaced by $m \le n$ where m is the length of the longest circuit (with no repeated nodes or edges) in the graph of A. We show that when A is doubly stochastic this conjecture is correct not only for the eigenvalues of A but also for all elements of the field of values of A.

Note: The conjecture mentioned above has recently been proven by R. B. Kellogg and A. B. Stephens in a paper to appear in Linear Algebra and Appl.

Received May 3, 1976.

On the largest prime factors of n and n+1

PAUL ERDÖS AND CARL POMERANCE

Let P(n) denote the largest prime factor of n, let A(x, t) denote the number of $n \le x$ with $P(n) \ge x^i$, and let B(x, t, s) denote the number of $n \le x$ with $P(n) \ge x^i$ and $P(n+1) \ge x^s$. A classical result of Dickman is that

 $a(t) = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} A(x, t)$

is defined and continuous on [0, 1]. It is natural to guess that

 $b(t, s) = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} B(x, t, s)$

is defined and continuous on $[0, 1]^2$ and that b(t, s) = a(t)a(s). Lending some support to these guesses, we prove that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the number of $n \le x$ with

 $x^{-\delta} < P(n)/P(n+1) < x^{\delta}$

is less than ϵx . Our proof entails Brun's method. A corollary is that the probability that P(n) > P(n+1) is positive (almost certainly this probability is 1/2).

Our methods allow us to say something about integers n which have the same sum of their prime factors as n+1. We prove the number of such $n \le x$ is $\partial(x/(\log x)^{1-\epsilon})$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. We know a proof in the case $\epsilon = 0$ as well, but it is more complicated and not presented.

In addition we present a brief discussion on the largest prime factors of 3 or more consecutive numbers.

Received May 19, 1976.