SOME NUMBER THEORETIC PROBLEMS ON BINOMIAL COEFFICIENTS

P. Erdös and G. Szekeres

We state a few simple but probably very difficult problems about binomial coefficients. The problems came up at the International Combinatorial Conference in Canberra, August 1977.

Let $1 \le i < j \le \frac{1}{2}n$. First observe that

$$\left(\binom{n}{i}, \binom{n}{j}\right) > 1.$$
⁽¹⁾

To prove (1) put

$$\binom{n}{j} = \binom{n}{i} \binom{n-i}{j-i} / \binom{j}{i} \cdot (2)$$

From (2) we evidently have

$$\left(\binom{n}{i},\binom{n}{j}\right) \geq \binom{n}{i} / \binom{j}{i} \geq 2^{i}.$$
(3)

Since $\binom{2p}{p}$ is not divisible by p, we have $(2p, \binom{2p}{p}) = 2$ hence equality in (3) for i = 1, n = 2p, j = p. For i > 1 there is always strict inequality in (3) and it seems likely that there is an h(n) tending to infinity with n so that

$$\binom{n}{i}, \binom{n}{j} \ge h(n)$$
 for $2 \le i < j \le \frac{1}{2}n$.

Denote by P(m,n) the greatest prime factor of (m,n), and in particular by P(m,n) the greatest prime factor of m. A well known theorem of Sylvester and Schur states that $P\left(\binom{n}{i}, \binom{n}{i}\right) > i$. This result generalizes the theorem of Tschebicheff according to which there always is a prime between n and 2n.

Conjecture 1. For every $1 \le i < j \le \frac{1}{2}n$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\binom{n}{i}, \binom{n}{j}\right) \geq i.$$
⁽⁴⁾

(4) if true is probably very deep. We also conjecture that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\binom{n}{i}, \binom{n}{j}\right) > i \tag{5}$$

holds except in a few special cases. First of all we discuss some of the counterexamples to (5).

(5) fails for i = 2 and some special values of n, all of which seem to be powers of 2. Assume $n = 2^n$ to be of the form pq + 1 where p,q are primes, e.g.

$$2^{4} = 1 + 3 \times 5$$
, or $2^{11} = 1 + 23 \times 89$. Then $\binom{n}{2} = 2^{n-1}pq$ so that $P\left(\binom{n}{2}, \binom{n}{j}\right) = 2$

provided that j is such that $\binom{n}{j}$ is not divisible by pq. The best chance for this to happen is if j is such that $j \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $j \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. For instance in the previous two cases, when n = 16, p = 3, q = 5, j = 6 we have $\binom{\binom{16}{2}}{\binom{2048}{713}} = 8$ and when n = 2048, p = 23, q = 89, j = 713 we have $\binom{\binom{2048}{2}}{\binom{713}{73}} = 2^{10}$. The same method can of course be used if $2^n - 1 = u - v$, (u, v) = 1 where u, v are not necessarily primes, but the chance of failure is much greater.

There are a few scattered counterexamples for i = 3 like $\binom{10}{3}$, $\binom{10}{5} = 2^2 \times 3$; the numbers $3^2 + 1$ have the best chances. For $i \ge 4$ there should only be a few incidental counterexamples to (5). We only know one such counterexample, namely $\binom{20}{5}$, $\binom{20}{14} = 2^3 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5$.

In trying to prove conjecture 1 the following further problem occurred. Put

$$f(n) = \min_{\substack{1 < j \leq \frac{1}{2}n}} \left(n, \binom{n}{j}\right).$$

From (2) it follows that

$$f(n) \ge p(n) \tag{6}$$

where p(n) is the smallest prime factor of n. If $n = p^k$ is a prime power then equality sign holds in (6), $f(p^k) = p$. For composite n we have the further inequality

$$f(n) \leq \frac{n}{P(n)} \tag{7}$$

where P(n) is the greatest prime power which divides n. To see this put $j = p^{\alpha}$ where $p^{\alpha}|n, p^{\alpha+1}|n$, then

$$\binom{n}{j} = \frac{n}{p^{\alpha}}$$

as seen immediately from (2). If n = pq, p < q then there is equality both in (6) and in (7). This again is seen from (2):

$$\binom{pq}{q} = p\binom{pq-1}{q-1}$$

hence $\binom{pq}{q}$ is divisible by p but not by q. This shows that $f(pq) = p = \frac{n}{q}$, and equality in (6) and (7) follows. Another case with equality in (7) is f(30) = 6; the high value of f(3) is caused by the presence of 25 and 27 near 30. It would be of interest to

- 98 -

characterize the composite n with f(n) = n/P(n). Numbers of the form Π p with k > 6 do not seem to have this property; for instance $p \le k$

$$f(210) = \left(210, \binom{210}{30}\right) = 14 < \frac{210}{P(210)} = 30.$$

There are also cases other than pq with equality in (8). For instance we have f(3pq) = 3, namely $\binom{3pq}{pq} \neq 0 \pmod{pq}$, for infinitely many pairs of primes p,q. We leave the proof to the reader.

One more remark. Let n be composite. It is immediate that for infinitely many n, $f(n) \ge \sqrt{n}$, say when $n = p^2$. There are some n for which the strict inequality

$$f(n) > \sqrt{n}$$
 (8)

holds, e.g. f(30) = 6, f(70) = 0, f(154) = 14 (all of the form 2pq). It seems likely that there are infinitely many *n* for which the inequality (8) is true, although we cannot prove this at present.

From (7) it follows that

$$f(n) < (1+O(1))\frac{n}{\log n}$$
 (9)

To prove (9) observe that the prime number theorem easily implies $P(n) \ge (1+O(1))\log n$. Thus (7) implies (9). Perhaps it is true that for every $\alpha > 0$ there is an $n_0(\alpha)$ so that for every composite $n > n_0(\alpha)$, $f(n) < n/(\log n)^{\alpha}$.

The University of New South Wales

THE AUSTRALIA-WEIZMANN INSTITUTE EXCHANGE SCHEME

The Australian Association for the Weizmann Institute of Science has been established to promote the exchange of information and exchange visits between scientists at the Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel, and their colleagues in Australia. The president of the Association is Professor Sir Gustav Nossal, and Professor Sir MacFarlane Burnet is Patron. The Weizmann Institute is concerned with fundamental and applied research in Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Biophysics-Biochemistry, and Biology. The exchange programme will initially involve short-term visits of a few weeks duration. In time it is hoped that this can be extended to cover periods of study leave and postdoctoral study. In addition to such "official" exchange visits, it is hoped that the Society will be able to arrange for Australian members to visit the Weizmann Institute whilst overseas on study leave or attending conferences.

Further information concerning the Association and the Weizmann Institute of Science, and membership application forms, are available from the Secretary, Dr. A.W. Burgess, Walter & Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, P.O., Royal Melbourne Hospital, Vic. 3050.