ON THE PRIME FACTORS OF $\binom{n}{k}$ AND OF CONSECUTIVE INTEGERS

P. Erdös and A. Sárközy

First we introduce some notations.

 c_1, c_2, \ldots denote positive absolute constants. The number of elements of the finite set S is denoted by |S|. We write $e^x = \exp x$. We denote the ith prime number by p_i . v(n) denotes the number of distinct prime factors of n. m_k is the smallest integer m for which

$$v\left(\binom{m}{k}\right) > k$$
.

P(n) is the greatest, p(n) the smallest prime factor of n. n_k is the smallest integer n for which

$$P(n+i) > k$$
 for all $1 \le i \le k$.

In [3], Erdös, Gupta, and Khare proved that

(1)
$$m_k > c_1 k^2 \log k$$
.

We prove

THEOREM 1. For $k > k_0$ we have

(2)
$$m_k > c_2 k^2 (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$$

It seems certain that $m_k > k^{2+c}$. It follows from results of Selfridge and the first author [4] that, by an averaging process, $m_k < k^{e+c}$. The exact determination of, or even good inequalities for, m_k seems very difficult.

Denote by \mathtt{m}_k' the least integer such that for every $\mathtt{m} \geq \mathtt{m}_k'$

$$v\left(\binom{m}{k}\right) \ge k$$
.

Szemerédi and the first author proved in [5] that $m'_k < (e+\epsilon)^k$ for every $\epsilon > 0$ if $k > k_0$. Very likely $m'_k < k^c$ but we could not even prove $m'_k < (e-\epsilon)^k$. Schinzel conjectured that $\upsilon \left({m \choose k} \right) = k$ holds for

UTILITAS MATHEMATICA Vol. 16 (1979), pp. 197-215.

infinitely many values of m. This is almost certainly true, but will be hopelessly difficult to prove. Let g(n) be the smallest integer $t \ge 1$ (if it exists) for which n-t has more than one prime factor greater than t (or the same definition for n+t); surely g(n)exists for both definitions but it is not known (see [4]).

In a previous paper [2, p.273], P. Erdös outlined the proof of

(3)
$$n_k < k^{\log k} / \log \log k$$

No reasonable lower bound for n, was known. We prove

THEOREM 2. If $k > k_0$, then $n_k > k^{5/2}/16$.

It seems certain that for $k > k_0(t)$, $n_k > k^t$ and, in fact, perhaps the upper bound (3) is close to the "truth".

Some other related problems and results can be found in [1].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.

We need two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If $x \ge 0$, $y \ge 2$ and d is a positive integer, then the number of solutions of

$$p-q = d$$
, $x ,$

where p, q are prime numbers, is less than

$$c_{3 p/d} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right) \frac{y}{\log^{2} y} < c_{4} \log \log (d+2) \frac{y}{\log^{2} y}.$$

This lemma can be proved by Brun's sieve (see e.g. [6] or [7]).

LEMMA 2. Let $N \ge 2$ be a positive integer and $s_1 < s_2 < \ldots < s_N$ be any integers. Put $s_N - s_1 = S$ and, for fixed d, denote the number of solutions of

$$s_x - s_y = d$$

by F(d). Then there exists a positive integer D such that

$$D \leq \frac{4S}{N}$$
 and $F(D) \geq \frac{N^2}{48S}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.

For j = 1, 2, ..., [N/4] + 1, let $I_j = [s_1 + (j-1)y, s_1 + jy]$. Then $s_1 + (|S| + 1)y > s_1 + S = s_1 + S = s_2$.

$$s_1 + \left(\left| \frac{s}{y} \right| + 1 \right) y > s_1 + \frac{s}{y} y = s_1 + s = s_N$$
,

and thus

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{[S/y]+1} I_{j} \stackrel{\neg [s_{1},s_{N}]}{};$$

hence

(4)
$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} N_j = \sum_{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j = 1 \\ = N - (\lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1) \ge N - \frac{N}{2} = \frac{N}{2}$$

since

$$\left\lfloor \frac{N}{4} \right\rfloor + 1 \le \frac{N}{4} + \frac{N}{4} = \frac{N}{2} \quad \text{for } N \ge 4$$

and

$$\left[\frac{N}{4}\right] + 1 \le \frac{N}{2}$$

holds also for N = 2, 3.

Let $N_j \ge 2$ for some j and define z by $s_z \notin I_j$, $s_{z+1} \in I_j$. Then for all the $\binom{N_j}{2}$ pairs, $1 \le x < y \le N_j$, we have

$$1 \le s_{z+y} - s_{z+x} \le s_z + N_j - s_{z+1} \le 4S/N$$
.

Thus, with respect to (4) and using Cauchy's inequality, we obtain that

$$\sum_{1 \le d \le 4S/N} F(d) \ge \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} {\binom{N}{2}}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} N_j \frac{N_j^{-1}}{2} \ge \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} N_j \frac{N_j^{/2}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} N_j^2 \ge \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} N_j \right)^2 / \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1 \\ N_j \ge 2}} \frac{1}{4 \binom{N}{2}} \sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le \lfloor N/4 \rfloor + 1}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{N^2}{16} / \binom{N}{4} + 1 \ge \frac{N^2}{16} / \frac{3N}{4} = \frac{N}{12} ;$$

hence

$$\max_{\substack{1 \le d \le 4S/N}} F(d) \ge \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \le d \le 4S/N}} F(d)}{\sum_{\substack{1 \le d \le 4S/N}} 1} \ge \frac{N/12}{4S/N} = \frac{N^2}{48S} ,$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

In order to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to show that if $\ \epsilon$ is sufficiently small then the assumptions

(5)
$$v\left(\binom{m}{k}\right) > k$$
,

(6)
$$m \ge \epsilon k^2 (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$$

lead to a contradiction for all $k \ge k_0(\epsilon)$. By (1) we may assume that

(7)
$$m > c_1 k^2 \log k$$

also holds.

For all $0 \le i \le k-1$, we write

$$m-i = a_i b_i$$
,

where $P(a_i) \le k$ and $p(b_i) > k$ (if, for example, all the prime factors of m-i are less than or equal to k then we write $b_i = 1$). Furthermore, let S_1 denote the set consisting of the integers $0 \le i \le k-1$ such that

$$P(m-i) < \frac{k \log k}{\log \log k}$$

and let $S_2 = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\} - S_1$ (i.e. $i \in S_2$ if and only if $||0 \le i \le k-1$ and $P(m-i) > k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}$).

First we give a lower estimate for $\pi_i \cdot \binom{m}{k}$ is an integer; $i \in S_2$

thus

k!
$$| m(m-1)...(m-k+1) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} b_i$$
,

and, obviously, $\begin{pmatrix} k-1 \\ k!, & \Pi & b \\ i=0 & i \end{pmatrix} = 1$. Thus we have $k! \mid \begin{array}{c} k-1 \\ \Pi & a \\ i=0 & i \end{array}$

and hence by Stirling's formula,

(8)
$$\begin{array}{c} k \\ \Pi \\ i=0 \end{array} \stackrel{k}{:} \geq k! > \left(\frac{k}{3}\right)^{k}$$

(for sufficiently large k).

From (5), we have

(9)
$$k < v\left(\binom{m}{k}\right) \leq (v(m(m-1)\dots(m-k+1))$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{p \leq k \\ p \mid m(m-1)\dots(m-k+1)}} 1 + \sum_{\substack{k$$

$$p \mid m(m-1) \dots (m-k+1)$$

m is less than or equal to $\pi(k)$. Furthermore,

Here the first term is less than or equal to $\pi(k)$. Furthermore, if k log k (log log k)⁻¹ \in S₂, and by (6), we have

$$\frac{m-i}{p} \le \frac{m}{k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \le \frac{\epsilon k^2 (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} = \epsilon k (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} \le k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}.$$

Thus for all $i \in S_2$, m-i has only one prime factor greater than k log k (log log k)⁻¹. This implies that the third term in (9) is less than or equal to $|S_2|$. Finally, we write

$$\sum_{\substack{k$$

We obtain from (9) that

$$k \leq \pi(k) + R + |S_2|$$
;

hence

(10)
$$R \ge (k - |S_2|) - \pi(k) = |S_1| - \pi(k)$$
.

Obviously, we have

(11)
$$\prod_{i \in S_{1}} a_{i} = \prod_{i \in S_{1}} \frac{m-i}{b_{i}} \leq \frac{\prod_{k$$

$$\leq \mathfrak{m} \begin{vmatrix} S_1 \\ I \end{vmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathfrak{i}=\pi(k)+R \\ I \\ \mathfrak{i}=\pi(k)+I \end{vmatrix} p_i$$

By the prime number theorem, we have $p_i \sim i \log i$. Furthermore, by the prime number theorem and the definition of R,

$$R \leq \pi (k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}) < k$$

(for large k). Thus by (10) and using the prime number theorem and Stirling's formula, we obtain, for sufficiently large k and $|S_1| > c_8$, that

(12)
$$\begin{array}{cccc} \pi(k) + R & \pi(k) + R & \\ \Pi & p_{i} > \Pi & \\ i = \pi(k) + 1 & i = \pi(k) + 1 \end{array} & \left(1 - \frac{1}{11}\right) i \log i \\ & \geq \frac{\pi(k) + R}{1 = \pi(k) + 1} & \left(1 - \frac{1}{11}\right) i \log (\pi(k) + 1) \end{array}$$

$$> \frac{\pi(k) + R}{\prod_{i=\pi(k)+1} (1 - \frac{1}{10})^{i} \log k} = \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{R} (\log k)^{R} \frac{(\pi(k) + R)!}{(\pi(k))!}$$

$$> \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{k} (\log k)^{|S_{1}| - \pi(k)} \frac{|S_{1}|!}{\pi(k)^{\pi(k)}} |S_{1}|$$

$$> \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{k} (\log k)^{|S_{1}|} (\pi(k) \log k)^{-\pi(k)} \frac{|S_{1}|}{3^{|S_{1}|}}$$

$$> \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{k} (|S_{1}| \log k)^{|S_{1}|} e^{-\pi(k) - \log (\pi(k) - \log k)} 3^{-k}$$

$$> \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{k} (|S_{1}| - \log k)^{|S_{1}|} e^{-2k} 3^{-k}$$

$$> \left(\frac{9}{10}\right)^{k} (|S_{1}| - \log k)^{|S_{1}|} 9^{-k} 3^{-k} = 30^{-k} (|S_{1}| \log k)^{|S_{1}|} .$$

(11) and (12) yield that, for $|s_1| > c_8$,

(13)
$$\prod_{i \in S_{1}} a_{i} < \frac{\binom{|S_{1}|}{m}}{30^{-k}(|S_{1}|\log k)} |S_{1}| = k \binom{|S_{1}|}{30^{k} \left(\frac{m}{|S_{1}| k \log k} \right) |S_{1}|}.$$

By (10) and the prime number theorem, we have

(14)
$$|S_{1}| \leq R + \pi(k) = \sum_{\substack{k
$$\leq \sum_{\substack{k
$$= (\pi(k \ \log k \ (\log \log k)^{-1}) - \pi(k)) + \pi(k)$$
$$= \pi(k \ \log k \ (\log \log k)^{-1}) < 2k(\log \log k)^{-1} .$$$$$$

If a > 0 then an easy discussion shows that the function $f(x) = (a/x)^{x}$ is increasing in the interval 0 < x < a/e. If we put $a = m/(k \log k)$ and $x = |S_1|$ then from (7) and (14) we have

$$\frac{a}{e} = \frac{m}{e \ k \ \log \ k} > \frac{c_1 \ k^2 \ \log \ k}{e \ k \ \log \ k} = \frac{c_1}{e} \ k > 2k(\log \ \log \ k)^{-1} > |S_1| = x$$

for sufficiently large k. Thus, for large k and $|s_1| > c_8$, (13) and (14) yield that

(15)
$$\prod_{i \in S_{1}}^{a} a_{i} < k | S_{1} | 30^{k} \left(\frac{m}{|S_{1}| | \log k} \right) | S_{1} |$$

$$< k | S_{1} | 30^{k} \left(\frac{m}{2k(\log \log k)^{-1} | k | \log k} \right)^{2k(\log \log k)^{-1}}$$

$$< k | S_{1} | 30^{k} \left(\frac{m}{k^{2} \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{2k(\log \log k)^{-1}} .$$

For large k, this holds also for $0 \le |S_1| \le c_8$ since in this case, from (6) and (7) we have

$$k | s_1 |_{30^k} \left(\frac{m}{k^2 \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{2k(\log \log k)^{-1}}$$

$$> 30^k \left(\frac{c_1 k^2 \log k}{k^2 \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{2k(\log \log k)^{-1}} > 30^k ,$$

while

$$\prod_{i \in S_1} a_i < \prod_{i \in S_1} m = m |S_1| < (k^3) |S_1| \le k^{3c_8} < 30^k$$

for sufficiently large k.

(8) and (15) yield that

(16)
$$\prod_{i \in S_{2}} a_{i} = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{i} \left(\prod_{i \in S_{1}} a_{i} \right)^{-1}$$

$$> \left(\frac{k}{3} \right)^{k} \left(k^{|S_{1}|} 30^{k} \left(\frac{m}{k^{2} \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{2k(\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{-1}$$

$$= k^{k-|S_{1}|} 90^{-k} \left(\frac{m}{k^{2} \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{-2k(\log \log k)^{-1}}$$

$$= k^{|S_{2}|} 90^{-k} \left(\frac{m}{k^{2} \log k(\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{-2k(\log \log k)^{-1}} .$$

Let S₃ denote the set consisting of the integers i satisfying $0 \le i \le k-1$, $i \in S_2$, and $a_i \le 10^{-6}k$. Then we have

where

$$T = \sum_{i \in S_3} 1$$

$$k^{|S_2|}_{90} = k \left(\frac{m}{k^2 \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{-2k(\log \log k)^{-1}} \\ < k^{|S_2|}_{(10^{-6})^{k-T}} \left(\frac{m}{k^2 \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}} \right)^{T};$$

hence

(18)
$$(10^6)^{k-T}90^{-k} < \left(\frac{m}{k^2 \log k(\log \log k)^{-1}}\right)^{T+2k(\log \log k)^{-1}}$$

We are going to show that this inequality implies that

(19)
$$T > k(\log \log k)^{-1}$$

If $T \ge k/2$ then this inequality holds trivially for large k; thus we may assume that $T \le k/2$. Then we obtain from (18) that

$$\left(\frac{m}{k^2 \log k(\log \log k)^{-1}}\right)^{T+2k(\log \log k)^{-1}} > (10^6)^{k-k/2}90^{-k} > 10^{3k} 10^{-2k} = 10^k .$$

Thus, from (6), we have

$$T+2k(\log \log k)^{-1} > \frac{k \log 10}{\log \frac{m}{k^2 \log k(\log \log k)^{-1}}}$$

$$\geq \frac{k \log 10}{\log \frac{\epsilon k^2 (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{k^2 \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}}$$

$$= \frac{k \log 10}{\log (\epsilon (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3})}$$

$$> \frac{k \log 10}{\log (\log k)^{1/3}} = \frac{3k \log 10}{\log \log k} > 3k(\log \log k)^{-1}$$

for sufficiently large k, which yields (19).

By the definition of a_i , we have $a_i | m-i$. If $i \in S_3$, then $a_i > 10^{-6}k$ also holds. But, if $a > 10^{-6}k$, then a may have at most $\left|\frac{k}{a}\right| + 1 \le \frac{k}{a} + 1 < 10^6 + 1 < 2 \cdot 10^6$

multiples amongst the numbers m, m-1, ..., m-k+1. Thus, for fixed a,

$$a_i = a_i + 1 \in S_3$$
,

has less than $2\cdot 10^6$ solutions. From (19), this implies that the number of the distinct a_i 's with i $\in S_3$ is greater than

$$\frac{\sum_{i \in S_3} 1}{2 \cdot 10^6} = \frac{T}{2 \cdot 10^6} > k(2 \cdot 10^6 \log \log k)^{-1} .$$

By the definitions of the sets S_2^{-} and S_3^{-} , i $\in S_3^{-}(\subset S_2^{-})$ implies that

k log k (log log k)⁻¹ <
$$P(m-i) \leq b_i$$
;

hence, from (6),

(20)
$$(10^{-6}k <)a_i = \frac{m-i}{b_i} \le \frac{m}{k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}}$$

 $\le \frac{\epsilon k^2 (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}}$
 $= \epsilon k (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$.

Let us write

t = k
$$(\log k)^{-5/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{2/3}$$

and for all

$$i \le j \le \left[\frac{\epsilon k (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{t}\right] + 1,$$

let us form the interval $I_j = ((j-1)t, jt]$. By (20), these intervals cover all the a_i 's (with $i \in S_3$), and the number of these intervals is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon k (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{t} \end{bmatrix} + 1$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varepsilon k (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{k (\log k)^{-5/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{2/3}} \end{bmatrix} + 1$$

$$< \varepsilon (\log k)^{2} (\log \log k)^{-1} (\log \log \log k)^{-1} + 1$$

$$< 2\varepsilon (\log k)^{2} (\log \log k)^{-1} (\log \log \log k)^{-1}$$

(for large k). Thus the matchbox principle yields that there exists an interval I, which contains more than

$$\frac{k(2 \cdot 10^{6} \log \log k)^{-1}}{2\epsilon (\log k)^{2} (\log \log k)^{-1} (\log \log \log \log k)^{-1}}$$

= $(4 \cdot 10^{6})^{-1} \epsilon^{-1} k (\log k)^{-2} \log \log \log k$

distinct a_i 's. In other words, there exist indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_N satisfying $i_{\ell} \in S_3$ for $i \leq \ell \leq N$,

(21)
$$(j-1)t < a_{i_1} < a_{i_2} < \dots < a_{i_N} \le jt$$

and

(22)
$$N > (4 \cdot 10^6)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} k (\log k)^{-2} \log \log \log k$$
.

Let us apply Lemma 2 with the set a_1, a_1, \ldots, a_N in place of the set s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_N . (By (21), $N \ge 2$ holds trivially.) Then from (21), we have

$$S = s_{N}^{-s_{1}} = a_{i_{N}}^{-a_{1}} a_{i_{1}}^{-a_{1}}$$

< t = k(log k)^{-5/3}(log log k)^{2/3}(log log log k)^{2/3}

Thus, from (22), we obtain that there exists an integer

(23)
$$D \leq \frac{4S}{N} < \frac{4k(\log k)^{-5/3}(\log \log k)^{2/3}(\log \log \log k)^{2/3}}{(4 \cdot 10^6)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} k (\log k)^{-2} \log \log \log k}$$
$$= 16 \cdot 10^6 \varepsilon (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$$

for which

$$a_{i_x} - a_{i_y} = D$$

has at least

(25)
$$F(D) \ge \frac{N^2}{48S} > \frac{(4 \cdot 10^6)^{-2} \varepsilon^{-2} k^2 (\log k)^{-4} (\log \log \log \log k)^2}{48k (\log k)^{-5/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log \log k)^{2/3}} > 10^{-15} \varepsilon^{-2} k (\log k)^{-7/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{4/3}$$

solutions.

By the definition of the set $S_3,\ i_{\ell}\in S_3$ implies, from (6), that for large k

$$b_{i_{\ell}} = \frac{m - l_{\ell}}{a_{i_{\ell}}} < \frac{m}{10^{-6}k}$$

$$\leq 10^{6} \epsilon k (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$$

$$< k (\log k)^{4/3}$$

and, on the other hand,

(26)
$$b_{i_{\ell}} \ge P(m-i_{\ell}) > k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}.$$

By the definition of $b_{i_{\ell}}$, we have $p(b_{i_{\ell}}) > k$; thus these inequalities imply that $b_{i_{\ell}} = q_{\ell}$ must be a prime number. Then q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N are distinct since by (26), $q_{\ell} > k \log k (\log \log k)^{-1}$ (for all ℓ). Thus q_{ℓ} may have at most one multiple amongst the numbers m, m-1,...,m-k+1. Furthermore, we have

$$q_{\ell} = \frac{m-1_{\ell}}{a_{1_{\ell}}} < \frac{m}{(j-1)t}$$

(it can be shown easily that j > 1) and

$$q_{\ell} = \frac{m - i_{\ell}}{a_{i_{\ell}}} = \frac{m}{a_{i_{\ell}}} - \frac{i_{\ell}}{a_{i_{\ell}}} > \frac{m}{jt} - \frac{k}{10^{-6}k} = \frac{m}{jt} - 10^{6}$$
.

Thus all the primes q_{ρ} belong to the interval

(27)
$$I = \left(\frac{m}{jt} - 10^6, \frac{m}{(j-1)t}\right).$$

From $a_{i_{\ell}} > 10^{-6}k$, (5), and the definition of t, the length of this interval is

$$(28) |I| = \frac{m}{(j-1)t} - \left(\frac{m}{jt} - 10^{6}\right) = \frac{m}{(j-1)jt} + 10^{6}$$

$$< \frac{mt}{(jt-t)jt} < \frac{mt}{(a_{i_{\ell}}^{-t}-t)a} < \frac{mt}{(10^{-6}k-t)10^{-6}k} < 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{mtk}^{-2}$$

$$< 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ek}^{2} (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$$

$$\cdot k (\log k)^{-5/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log \log k)^{2/3} k^{-2}$$

$$= 2 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ek} (\log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{1/3}$$

Furthermore, if a_{i_x} and a_{j_y} satisfy (24) then we have

$$q_{y}-q_{x} = \frac{m-i_{y}}{a_{i_{y}}} - \frac{m-i_{x}}{a_{i_{x}}} = m \frac{a_{i_{x}} - a_{i_{y}}}{a_{i_{x}} a_{i_{y}}} + \frac{i_{x}}{a_{i_{x}}} - \frac{i_{y}}{a_{i_{y}}}$$
$$= \frac{mD}{a_{i_{y}}(a_{i_{y}}+D)} + \frac{i_{x}}{a_{i_{x}}} - \frac{i_{y}}{a_{i_{y}}}.$$

Hence

(29)
$$\left| (q_y - q_x) - \frac{mD}{a_i (a_i + D)} \right| \le \frac{i_y}{a_i} + \frac{i_y}{a_i} \le 2 \cdot \frac{k}{10^{-6} k} = 2 \cdot 10^6$$
.

3

On the other hand, by (6) and (23), we have

$$(30) \qquad \left| \frac{mD}{a_{i}(a_{j}^{}+D)} - \frac{mD}{jt(jt+D)} \right| = mD \frac{\left| \begin{pmatrix} (jt)^{2} - (a_{j}^{})^{2} \end{pmatrix} + D(jt-a_{i}^{}) \right|}{a_{i}(a_{j}^{}+D)jt(Jt+D)} \\ = mD \frac{\left| jt-a_{i}^{} \right| jt+a_{i}^{}+D}{a_{i}^{}(a_{j}^{}+D)jt(jt+D)} = \frac{mD \left| jt-a_{i}^{} \right| (jt+a_{j}^{})}{a_{i}^{}a_{i}^{}a_{j}^{}(jt+D)} \\ < \frac{mD \cdot t \cdot 2jt}{a_{i}^{}a_{j}^{}(a_{j}^{}+D)jt(jt+D)} = \frac{2 \cdot 10^{18} mDtk^{-3}}{a_{j}^{}a_{i}^{}a_{j}^{}(jt+D)} \\ < \frac{2 \cdot 10^{18} \epsilon k^{2} (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}}{16 \cdot 10^{6} \epsilon (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}} \\ \cdot k (\log k)^{-5/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{2/3} k^{-3} \\ = 32 \cdot 10^{24} \epsilon^{2}.$$

For small ϵ , (29) and (30) yield that

$$\left| (q_y - q_x) - \frac{mD}{jt(jt+D)} \right| \leq \left| (q_y - q_x) - \frac{mD}{a_{i_y}(a_{i_y}+D)} \right| + \left| \frac{mD}{a_{i_y}(a_{i_y}+D)} - \frac{mD}{jt(jt+D)} \right|$$

$$< 2 \cdot 10^6 + 32 \cdot 10^{24} \varepsilon^2 < 3 \cdot 10^6 .$$

Thus for all the F(D) solutions x, y of (24), $q_y - q_x$ is in the interval

$$\frac{\text{mD}}{\text{jt(jt+D)}} - 3 \cdot 10^6 < q_y - q_x < \frac{\text{mD}}{\text{jt(jt+d)}} + 3 \cdot 10^6$$

The length of this interval is $6 \cdot 10^6$; thus, by the matchbox principle, there exists an integer d such that (from (6) and (23))

(31)
$$d < \frac{mD}{jt(jt+d)} + 3 \cdot 10^{6} < \frac{mD}{(10^{-6}k)^{2}} + 3 \cdot 10^{6}$$
$$< 10^{12} \varepsilon k^{2} (\log k)^{4/3} (\log \log k)^{-4/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3}$$
$$\cdot 16 \cdot 10^{6} \varepsilon (\log k)^{1/3} (\log \log k)^{2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-1/3} \cdot k^{-2}$$
$$= 16 \cdot 10^{18} \varepsilon^{2} (\log k)^{5/3} \log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-2/3}$$

and, denoting the number of solutions of

(32)
$$q_x - q_y = d, q_x \in I, q_y \in I$$

by G(d), we have from (25)

(33)
$$G(d) \ge \frac{F(D)}{6 \cdot 10^{6} + 1}$$

>
$$\frac{10^{-15} \varepsilon^{-2} k (\log k)^{-7/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{4/3}}{6 \cdot 10^{6} + 1}$$

>
$$10^{22} \varepsilon^{-2} k (\log k)^{-7/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{4/3}.$$

On the other hand, by (28), Lemma 1 yields that the number of solutions of (32) is

$$(34) \quad G(d) < c_{4} \log \log (d+2) \frac{|I|}{\log^{2} |I|}$$

$$< c_{4} \log \log(16 \cdot 10^{18} \varepsilon^{2} (\log k)^{5/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-2/3} + 2)$$

$$\cdot \frac{2 \cdot 10^{-12} \varepsilon k (\log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{1/3}}{\log^{2} (2 \cdot 10^{-12} \varepsilon k (\log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{1/3})}$$

$$< 2c_{4} \log \log \log k \frac{2 \cdot 10^{12} \varepsilon k (\log k)^{-1/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{1/3}}{2^{-1} \log^{2} k}$$

$$= 8 \cdot 10^{-12} \varepsilon_{4} k (\log k)^{-7/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{4/3}.$$

(33) and (34) yield that

$$10^{-22} \varepsilon^{-2} k (\log k)^{-7/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log k)^{4/3} < 8 \cdot 10^{-12} \varepsilon c_4 k (\log k)^{-7/3} (\log \log k)^{-2/3} (\log \log \log \log k)^{4/3};$$

hence

$$8^{-1}10^{-10}c_4^{-1} < \epsilon^3$$
 .

But for sufficiently small ε , this inequality cannot hold, and this contradiction proves Theorem 1. (In fact, as this inequality shows, Theorem 1 holds, for example, with $c_2 = 10^{-4} c_4^{-1/3}$.)

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We have to prove that if $k > k_0$ and

(35)
$$0 \le n \le k^{5/2}/16$$
,

then there exists an integer u such that

$$(36) n < u \le n+k$$

and

$$P(u) \leq k ,$$

We are going to show that there exists an integer u satisfying (36) and of the form

$$u = d_1 d_2 d_3$$
,

- 212 -

where the integers d, satisfy

(38)
$$0 < d_{i} \le k$$
 for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Obviously, an integer u of this form also satisfies (37).

Let us define the positive integers x and z by

 $z = [k^{1/2}/3]$

and

$$x = [(n/z)^{1/2}] + 1$$
.

Then

$$x^{2} > ((n/z)^{1/2})^{2} = n/z$$
;

hence

$$x^2 - \frac{n}{z} > 0 .$$

Let us define the non-negative integer y by

(40)
$$y < (x^2 - \frac{n}{z})^{1/2} \le y+1$$
.

Finally, let

$$d_1 = z,$$

 $d_2 = x-y,$

and

$$d_3 = x + y$$
.

Then $d_1 > 0$ and $d_3 > 0$ hold trivially while $d_2 > 0$ will follow from $d_1 > 0$, $d_3 > 0$ and $u = d_1 d_2 d_3 > n$. Furthermore, $d_1 = z = [k^{1/2}/3] < k$ holds trivially. Finally, in view of (35) and

(39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2} &= x - y \leq x + y = d_{3} < \left(x + x^{2} - \frac{n}{z}\right)^{1/2} \\ &< (n/z)^{1/2} + 1 + \left(\left((n/z)^{1/2} + 1\right)^{2} - \frac{n}{z}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= (n/z)^{1/2} + 1 + (2(n/z)^{1/2} + 1)^{1/2} \\ &< \left(\frac{k^{5/2}}{16\lfloor k^{1/2}/3 \rfloor}\right)^{1/2} + 1 + \left(2\left(\frac{k^{5/2}}{16\lfloor k^{1/2}/3 \rfloor}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)^{1/2} \\ &= (1 + o(1))^{3^{1/2}} 4^{-1} k + 1 + 0(k^{1/2}) = (1 + o(1))^{3^{1/2}} 4^{-1} k \end{aligned}$$

for $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Here $3^{1/2}4^{-1} < 1$; thus also $d_2 \leq d_3 < k$ holds for large k which completes the proof of (38) (provided that u > n).

By (40), we have

(41)
$$u = d_1 d_2 d_3 = z(x-y)(x+y) = z(x^2-y^2) > z\left(x^2 - \left(x^2 - \frac{n}{z}\right)^{1/2}\right)^2 = z \cdot \frac{n}{z} = n$$

Finally, by (40),

$$x^{2} - \frac{n}{z} \le (y+1)^{2}$$
;

hence

$$z(x^2-(y+1)^2) \le n$$
.

Thus, from (35), we have

$$(42) \quad u = d_{1}d_{2}d_{3} = z(x-y)(x+y) = z(x^{2}-y^{2})$$

$$= n + (z(x^{2}-y^{2})-n) \le n + (z(x^{2}-y^{2})-z(x^{2}-(y+1)^{2}))$$

$$= n + (2y+1)z < n + \left(2\left(x^{2}-\frac{n}{z}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)z$$

$$< n + \left(2\left(\left((n/z)^{1/2}+1\right)^{2}-\frac{n}{z}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)z$$

$$= n + \left(2(2(n/z)^{1/2}+1)^{1/2}+1\right)z$$

$$= n + \left(2\left(2\left(\frac{n}{\lfloor k^{1/2}/3 \rfloor}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)\frac{k^{1/2}}{3}$$

$$< n + \left(2\left(2\left(\frac{k^{5/2}/16}{k^{1/2}/4}\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)^{1/2} + 1\right)\frac{k^{1/2}}{3}$$

$$= n + \left(2(k+1)^{1/2}+1\right)\frac{k^{1/2}}{3} < n + 3k^{1/2} \cdot \frac{k^{1/2}}{3} = n + k$$

for sufficiently large k.

(41) and (42) yield (36) and this completes the proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- P. Erdös, Über die Anzahl der Primfaktoren von (ⁿ_k), Archiv der Math. 24 (1973), 53-56.
- P. Erdös, Problems and results on consecutive integers, Publ. Math. Debrecen. 23 (1976), 271-282.
- [3] P. Erdös, H. Gupta and S. P. Khare, On the number of distinct prime factors of (ⁿ_k), Utilitas Math. 10 (1976), 51-60.
- [4] P. Erdös and J. Selfridge, Some problems on the prime factors of consecutive integers, Illinois J. Math. 11 (1967), 428-430.
- [5] P. Erdös and E. Szemerédi, Problem 192, Mat. Lapok 25 (1974), 182-183.
- [6] H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert, Sieve Methods, Academic Press, London - New York - San Francisco, 1974.
- [7] H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, Sequences, Vol. 1, Oxford, Clarendon Press, 1966.

Mathematical Institute Hungarian Academy of Sciences Budapest

Received February, 1979.