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It is well known that there are at most four Moore graphs of diameter 2, i.e., graphs of diameter 2 , maximum degree $d$, and $d^{2}+1$ vertices. The purpose of this paper is to prove that with the exception of $C_{4}$, there are no graphs of diameter 2 , of maximum degree $d$, and with $d^{2}$ vertices.

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to prove that, with the exception of $C_{4}$, there are no graphs of diameter 2 and maximum degree $d$ with $d^{2}$ vertices.
On one hand our paper is an extension of [4] where it was proved that there are at most four Moore graphs of diameter 2 (i.e. graphs of diameter 2 , maximum degree $d$, and $d^{2}+1$ vertices). We also use the eigenvalue method developed in that paper.

On the other hand, our problem originated in [2]. The domination number of a graph $G$ is the smallest inieger $k$ such that $G$ has a $k$-element subset, $S$, for which every vertex of $G$ either belongs to $S$ or is adjacent to a vertex of $S$.
Authors of [2] constructed a number of graphs of diameter 2 which contained no three of four-cycles and for which the domination number was arbitrarily large. As a rule, the only lower bounds for the domination numbers were obtained from upper bounds on the maximum degree.

This suggested the following question: How small may the maximum degree be compared to the number of vertices in graphs of diameter 2 ?
Since a graph of a diameter 2 and maximum degree $d$ may have at most $d^{2}+1$ vertices, the question can be formulated as follows: given non-negative numbers $d$ and $\delta$, is there a graph of diameter 2 and maximum degree $d$ with $d^{2}+1-\delta$ vertices? It was proved in [4] that if $\delta=0$ then there are graphs corresponding to $d=1,3$, and 7 and that, moreover, only one more case, namely of $d=57$, is possible. The case $\delta=1$ is solved in the next section, and the last section contains some comments concerning the case $\delta>1$.

## THE RESULT

Theorem. If $G$ is a graph of diameter 2 with $n=d^{2}(d \geqslant 2)$ vertices and maximum degree $d$, then $G$ is isomorphic to a four-element cycle.

Proof: First of all, let us notice that if $G$ had a vertex of degree $k<d$, then $G$ would have at most $1+k+k \cdot(d-1)=1+k \cdot d<d^{2}$ vertices. Thus $G$ must be regular of degree $d$ and in particular $d$ must be even.
Since $G$ has diameter two, the neighbors of any vertex dominate $G$. Thus, if $G$ had a triangle it would have at most $1+(d-2)(d-1)+2(d-2)<d^{2}$ vertices. Consequently, $G$ is triangle-free and a similar argument shows that every vertex of $G$ is contained in at most one $C_{4}$.
On the other hand if $G$ had a vertex contained in no $C_{4}$, then $G$ would have $1+d+$ $d(d-1)>d^{2}$ vertices.

Thus, for each vertex $r$ of $G$ there is exactly one vertex $r^{\prime}$ of $G$ at a distance 2 from $r$, such that there are exactly two paths of length 2 joining $r$ and $r^{\prime}$. Let $K$ be the direct sum of $2 \times 2$ matrices of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

i.e., $K$ is the adjacency matrix of a 1 -factor.

Then if $A$ is the adjacency matrix of $G$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{2}+A-(d-1) I=J+K \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is a matrix all of whose entries are 1.
Since $J$ is regular, $A$ commutes with $J$, therefore with $K$, hence all matrices in (1) can be simultaneously diagonalized. Since $K$ has eigenvalues 1 and -1 each of multiplicity $d^{2} / 2$, and the eigenvalue +1 of $K$ is paired with eigenvalues $d$ of $A$ and $d^{2}$ of $J$, we see from the fact that all other eigenvalues of $J$ are 0 , that the eigenvalues of $A$ other than $d$ are roots $\alpha$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}+\alpha-(d-1)=+1, \quad \text { occurring } d^{2} / 2-1 \text { times } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}+\alpha-(d-1)=-1, \quad \text { occurring } d^{2} / 2 \text { times. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the remaining eigenvalues of $A$ are

$$
\beta_{1}=-1 / 2+\sqrt{4 d-7} / 2 \text { and } \beta_{2}=-1 / 2-\sqrt{4 d-7} / 2
$$

with multiplicities $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ satisfying

$$
m_{1}+m_{2}=d^{2} / 2
$$

and

$$
\gamma_{1}=-1 / 2+\sqrt{1+4 d} / 2 \text { and } \gamma_{2}=-1 / 2-\sqrt{1+4 d} / 2
$$

with multiplicities $n_{1}, n_{2}$ satisfying

$$
n_{1}+n_{2}=d^{2} / 2-1 .
$$

Let $p=\sqrt{4 d-7}$ and $q=\sqrt{4 d+1}$.
From (2) $x^{2}+x-d$ is a factor of the characteristic polynomial of $A$. Therefore if $G$ is not an integer, $n_{1}=n_{2}$, which would imply that $d^{2} / 2-1$ is even. This contradiction shows that $q$ is an integer.
If $p$ is also an integer, then since $q^{2}-p^{2}=8$, we must have that $p=1$ and $q=3$. Thus, $d=2$ and in this case $G$ is a cycle of length 4 .
If $p$ is not an integer, then $m_{1}=m_{2}$.
Since $m_{1}=m_{2}, m_{1}+m_{2}=d^{2} / 2, n_{1}+n_{2}=d^{2} / 2-1$, and the trace of $A=0=$ sum of eigenvalues of $A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =d+m_{1} \frac{-1+p}{2}+m_{2} \frac{-1-p}{2}+n_{1} \frac{-1+q}{2}+n_{2} \frac{-1-q}{2} \\
& =d-\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)+\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \frac{q}{2} \\
& =d-\frac{d^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \frac{q}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $d=\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 4$, we can conclude that

$$
q^{4}-10 q^{2}-16 q\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)-7=0 .
$$

Since $q$ is an integer root of this equation, then $q=1$ or $q=7$, i.e., $d=0$ or $d=12$. If $d=12$ then $G$ has eigenvalues

| 12 | with multiplicity 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{-1+\sqrt{41}}{2}$ |  |
| $\frac{-1-\sqrt{41}}{2}$ |  |
| with multiplicity 36 |  |
| 3 |  |
| -4 | with multiplicity 36 |
|  | with multiplicity 44 |
|  |  |

Since $G$ is triangle-free, the trace of $A^{3}$ is 0 . But

$$
\operatorname{tr} A^{3}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ are eigenvalues of $A$. The sum of cubes of the above eigenvalues, however, is 72 and thus there is no graph with $d=12$.
Thus the theorem is proved.
Let $F$ be a finite field and $P$ a projective plane over $F$, i.e., elements of $P$ are proportional triples of nonzero elements of $F^{3}$. Brown [1] defines two elements of $P$ to be adjacent iff their scalar product is zero. It is easy to see that if $F$ has $p$ elements then the resulting graph has maximum degree $p+1$, diameter 2 , and $p^{2}+p+1$ vertices (these graphs were introduced in a different form in [3]).
For our purposes, Brown's construction can be slightly improved. A vertex ( $x, y, z$ ) of Brown's graph has degree $p$ iff the norm $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=0$. Thus if $F$ has characteristic 2 and $a \neq 0$ then the vector $(a, b, c)$ is adjacent to the vector $(b+c, a+c, a+b)$, which has norm 0 . If $F$ has characteristic 2 then the function $f(x)=x^{2}$ is one-to-one and hence onto. Thus, up to proportionality, Brown's graph has $p+1$ vertices of degree $p$. Adding a new vertex and joining it to all vertices of degree $p$ we obtain a $(p+1)$ regular graph with $p^{2}+p+2$ vertices.
We know only three examples of graphs in which $\delta=2$, namely triangle, the 3 . regular $R(3,3)$-critical Ramsey graph, and the graph corresponding to $p=2$ in the above construction.

The second author wishes to acknowledge interesting conversations with Professor Richard D. Sinkhorn.

## References

[1] W. G. Brown, "On graphs that do not contain a Thompsen graph," Can. Math. Bull., v.g. 281-285 (1966).
[2] P. Erdös and S. Fajtlowicz, "Domination in graphs of diameter 2," in preparation.
[3] P. Erdös and A. Renyi, "On a problem in a theory of graphs," Publ. Math. Inst. Hung. Acad. Sci., 7/A, 623-641 (1962), (in Hungarian with English and Russian Summaries).
[4] A. J. Hoffman and R. R. Singleton, "On Moore graphs with diameters 2 and 3," IBM J. Res. Dev. 4, 497-504 (1960).

Received January 1979

